World Journal of
Advanced Science and Technology

Journal homepage: https://zealjournals.com/wjast/

ISSN: 2945-3178 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Integrative project and asset management strategies to maximize gas production: A review of best practices

Emmanuella Onyinye Nwulu [1,*], Tari Yvonne Elete [2], Ovie Vincent Erhueh [3], Oluwaseyi Ayotunde Akano [4] and Adeoye Taofik Aderamo [5]

[1] *Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company Lagos. Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Georgia, USA.*
[3] *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*
[4] *Chevron Nigeria Limited, Nigeria.*
[5] *Independent Researcher; Lagos Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 2022, 02(02), 018–033

Publication history: Received on 13 August 2022; revised on 20 November 2022; accepted on 24 November 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53346/wjast.2022.2.2.0036

Abstract

The growing demand for natural gas as a cleaner energy source has driven the need for optimized production strategies in the oil and gas sector. This paper reviews integrative project and asset management strategies designed to maximize gas production, drawing from best practices in the industry. The review highlights the critical role of strategic alignment between project management and asset optimization in achieving sustainable and efficient gas production. Key areas explored include the integration of predictive maintenance, digital twin technologies, and data analytics to improve decision-making and operational performance. Emphasizing a life-cycle approach to asset management, the paper discusses how proactive asset monitoring, risk management, and performance tracking contribute to long-term production efficiency. The importance of stakeholder collaboration and interdisciplinary coordination across technical, operational, and financial teams is also underscored, as it facilitates the seamless execution of projects from exploration to production. This review further examines case studies where digital tools and advanced project management methodologies have been successfully implemented to reduce downtime, optimize resource allocation, and enhance production capacity. Techniques such as Lean project management and Six Sigma are highlighted as effective in streamlining operations and reducing inefficiencies. The study concludes by discussing the future trends in gas production management, including the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) for predictive asset optimization and the integration of real-time monitoring systems for enhanced production forecasting. In summary, integrating advanced project and asset management strategies, with a focus on technology and collaboration, can significantly enhance gas production efficiency. By adopting these best practices, gas producers can meet rising global energy demands while maintaining operational sustainability and cost-effectiveness.

Keywords: Gas Production; Integrative Project Management; Asset Management; Predictive Maintenance; Digital Twin; Data Analytics; Lean Management; Six Sigma; AI; Machine Learning; Operational Efficiency

1 Introduction

The global energy landscape is undergoing significant transformation, with natural gas emerging as a critical component of the transition toward cleaner energy sources. The demand for natural gas has been steadily increasing due to its role in reducing carbon emissions compared to coal and oil, making it a preferred choice for power generation and industrial applications (Armenia, et al., 2019). According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), natural gas consumption is expected to grow by approximately 30% between 2020 and 2040, highlighting its importance in meeting future energy

* Corresponding author: Emmanuella Onyinye Nwulu

needs (Gardiner, 2014). This surge in demand necessitates the optimization of gas production processes to ensure a reliable supply while minimizing environmental impacts.

Maximizing gas production is essential not only for meeting rising global energy demands but also for maintaining economic stability and promoting energy security. Efficient gas production strategies can lead to reduced operational costs, increased profitability for producers, and lower energy prices for consumers (Shafiee & Animah, 2017). Additionally, as countries strive to meet climate goals and transition toward sustainable energy systems, the role of natural gas as a "bridge fuel" becomes increasingly significant. This context emphasizes the need for innovative project and asset management strategies that enhance production efficiency while ensuring safety and sustainability (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2018).

The purpose of this review is to explore integrative project and asset management strategies that can effectively maximize gas production. By examining best practices from the industry, this paper aims to identify key methodologies that leverage advancements in technology, project management frameworks, and asset optimization techniques (Bassey, 2022). Ultimately, the findings will provide valuable insights for industry stakeholders seeking to enhance their operational efficiencies and contribute to a more sustainable energy future.

2 The Role of Integrative Project and Asset Management

Integrative project and asset management is a comprehensive approach that combines various methodologies and practices to enhance efficiency and effectiveness in the management of complex projects and assets, particularly in the oil and gas sector (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2019, Okpeh & Ochefu, 2010). This approach is essential in the context of maximizing gas production, where challenges related to operational efficiency, safety, and regulatory compliance are paramount. Integrative project management emphasizes the holistic coordination of all project phases, from planning and execution to monitoring and completion, ensuring that resources are utilized effectively and that objectives are met in a timely manner (Petchrompo & Parlikad, 2019).

The scope of integrative project management extends beyond traditional project management practices, encompassing risk management, stakeholder engagement, and the integration of advanced technologies. It necessitates a systems thinking approach that considers the interdependencies between different project components and the broader organizational context (Patacas, et al., 2015). By employing this approach, organizations can improve decision-making processes, enhance communication among project teams, and achieve greater alignment with strategic business objectives.

In the oil and gas sector, effective asset management is critical to ensuring that production facilities operate efficiently and safely throughout their lifecycle. Asset management involves the systematic and coordinated activities that enable organizations to optimize the value of their physical assets, balancing performance, risk, and costs (Ochieng, et al., 2018). It encompasses various practices, including maintenance management, reliability engineering, and performance monitoring, which are vital for maximizing gas production (Enebe, 2019, Ojebode & Onekutu, 2021). The asset management framework allows companies to make informed decisions about investment, maintenance, and operational strategies, ultimately enhancing production efficiency and reducing downtime (Ma, et al., 2018).

The importance of asset management in the oil and gas industry cannot be overstated. With the increasing complexity of gas production operations, companies face numerous challenges, including aging infrastructure, fluctuating market conditions, and stringent regulatory requirements (Enebe, et al., 2022, Olufemi, Ozowe & Afolabi, 2012). Effective asset management practices can help organizations navigate these challenges by ensuring that assets are maintained in optimal condition, which directly impacts production levels (Lu, et al., 2019). Moreover, by integrating asset management with project management, organizations can achieve better alignment between their operational and strategic objectives, leading to improved project outcomes.

Strategic alignment between project management and asset optimization is essential for maximizing gas production. This alignment involves ensuring that project goals are directly linked to the overall asset management strategy, creating a cohesive approach that prioritizes efficiency and effectiveness (Enebe, et al., 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2022). When project management and asset optimization are aligned, organizations can identify opportunities for improvement, streamline operations, and minimize costs associated with project execution and asset maintenance (Cherrafi, et al., 2017). For instance, an integrative approach allows for the identification of potential risks and the implementation of proactive measures to mitigate them, thereby reducing the likelihood of costly delays and disruptions in production.

Integrative project and asset management strategies leverage advanced technologies to enhance efficiency and facilitate better decision-making. The adoption of digital tools, such as data analytics and predictive maintenance, has transformed how organizations approach project and asset management in the oil and gas sector (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, Enebe, Ukoba & Jen, 2019). By utilizing data analytics, companies can gain insights into asset performance, identify trends, and make informed decisions regarding maintenance and optimization strategies (Xia, et al., 2018). Predictive maintenance, in particular, enables organizations to anticipate equipment failures and address them before they impact production, resulting in increased uptime and reduced operational costs (Medne, Lapiņa & Zeps, 2022).

Furthermore, the integration of project management methodologies, such as Agile and Lean, with asset management practices has proven effective in enhancing production efficiency. Lean project management principles, which focus on waste reduction and value maximization, can be applied to asset management processes to streamline operations and improve resource allocation Sánchez, 2015). Agile methodologies, characterized by their adaptability and iterative nature, allow organizations to respond swiftly to changing project requirements and external factors, ensuring that gas production initiatives remain on track and aligned with strategic objectives (Olukoga & Feng, 2021).

Collaboration and communication among stakeholders are also crucial components of integrative project and asset management. In the oil and gas sector, projects often involve multiple stakeholders, including engineering teams, contractors, regulatory bodies, and local communities. Effective collaboration ensures that all parties are aligned in their objectives and that potential conflicts are addressed proactively (Hossain et al., 2020). By fostering a collaborative environment, organizations can enhance knowledge sharing, leverage diverse perspectives, and ultimately drive better project outcomes.

The review of best practices in integrative project and asset management highlights several key factors that contribute to successful gas production initiatives. Firstly, organizations must prioritize the development of a strong safety culture, emphasizing the importance of safety protocols and risk management practices throughout the project lifecycle (Jagoda & Wojcik, 2019). Secondly, ongoing training and education for personnel involved in project and asset management are essential to ensure that teams are equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to navigate the complexities of the industry (Love, et al., 2014).

Additionally, establishing clear performance metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs) is vital for monitoring progress and evaluating the effectiveness of integrative strategies. By regularly assessing performance against established benchmarks, organizations can identify areas for improvement and implement corrective actions as needed (Lopes & de Almeida, 2015). Finally, leveraging advanced technologies and data analytics tools can enhance decision-making capabilities and support continuous improvement efforts within the organization.

In conclusion, integrative project and asset management strategies play a critical role in maximizing gas production in the oil and gas sector. By defining the scope of integrative project management, emphasizing the importance of asset management, and fostering strategic alignment between project management and asset optimization, organizations can enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and improve overall production outcomes (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2014, Enebe). The integration of advanced technologies, collaboration among stakeholders, and a strong focus on safety and continuous improvement further contribute to the effectiveness of these strategies. As the demand for natural gas continues to rise, the implementation of best practices in integrative project and asset management will be essential for organizations striving to remain competitive and sustainable in the evolving energy landscape.

3 Key Strategies for Maximizing Gas Production

Maximizing gas production through integrative project and asset management strategies is crucial for meeting global energy demands while maintaining operational efficiency and safety. Key strategies such as predictive maintenance, digital twin technology, and data analytics play a vital role in optimizing production processes within the oil and gas industry (Oyeniran, et al., 2022). These strategies enable organizations to enhance asset performance, minimize downtime, and improve decision-making capabilities, ultimately leading to increased gas production and operational excellence.

Predictive maintenance has emerged as a critical component in optimizing gas production. Effective maintenance practices are essential to ensure that production facilities operate at peak performance. In the oil and gas sector, equipment failures can lead to significant downtime and financial losses, making maintenance a top priority (Schulze, et al., 2016). By implementing predictive maintenance strategies, organizations can proactively identify and address potential equipment failures before they occur. This approach relies on advanced monitoring technologies, such as vibration analysis, thermal imaging, and acoustic monitoring, which provide real-time insights into asset condition (Syed, et al., 2021).

The importance of predictive maintenance in optimizing production cannot be overstated. Downtime caused by unplanned equipment failures can significantly disrupt production schedules, resulting in lost revenue and increased operational costs. Research indicates that organizations that adopt predictive maintenance can reduce maintenance costs by up to 30% while improving asset availability by 20% or more (Giglio, Friar & Crittenden, 2018). By shifting from reactive to proactive maintenance approaches, companies can not only enhance production efficiency but also extend the lifespan of their assets, thereby maximizing overall gas production.

Predictive maintenance technologies play a pivotal role in preventing downtime through continuous monitoring and data analysis. By utilizing Internet of Things (IoT) sensors and cloud-based platforms, organizations can collect vast amounts of data regarding equipment performance and health. This data can be analyzed using machine learning algorithms to predict when maintenance actions should be taken (Nicholas & Steyn, 2020). For instance, if sensors detect a gradual decline in the efficiency of a gas compressor, predictive maintenance systems can alert operators to schedule maintenance before a complete failure occurs. Such proactive measures minimize operational interruptions and ensure that production targets are met consistently.

Another key strategy in maximizing gas production is the implementation of digital twin technology. Digital twin technology refers to the creation of a virtual replica of physical assets, systems, or processes, allowing for real-time monitoring and analysis (Hristov & Chirico, 2019). This innovative approach enables organizations to simulate different operational scenarios, assess performance, and optimize asset management strategies based on real-time data. By leveraging digital twin technology, companies can enhance their understanding of asset behavior under various conditions and make informed decisions regarding maintenance, resource allocation, and production optimization (Agupugo, et al., 2022).

The benefits of real-time data visualization provided by digital twin technology are substantial. With a digital twin, operators can visualize the performance of their assets in real-time, leading to quicker identification of potential issues and more efficient resource management (Niederman, 2021). For example, in the context of gas production, a digital twin of a gas processing facility can display critical operational metrics such as throughput, pressure, and temperature. This real-time visualization empowers decision-makers to respond swiftly to anomalies and optimize processes for improved production outcomes.

Moreover, digital twin technology can facilitate collaboration among project teams by providing a shared platform for monitoring and decision-making. By integrating data from various sources, including sensors, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, and maintenance management systems, organizations can create a holistic view of their operations (Abuza, 2017). This integrated approach enhances communication and collaboration among stakeholders, leading to more effective project execution and improved asset performance (Domínguez, et al., 2019). The ability to visualize and analyze data collaboratively allows for the identification of optimization opportunities that can significantly impact gas production.

Data analytics is another critical component in the strategy for maximizing gas production through integrative project and asset management. The use of data analytics enables organizations to track performance metrics, identify inefficiencies, and optimize resource allocation (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2015). Advanced analytics tools can process large volumes of data from various sources, enabling organizations to derive actionable insights that inform decision-making (Sánchez, 2015). For example, data analytics can help identify patterns in production data, enabling operators to optimize drilling schedules and enhance well performance.

The role of big data and advanced analytics in operational improvements cannot be overlooked. The oil and gas industry generates vast amounts of data from various sources, including drilling operations, production processes, and equipment performance. By leveraging big data analytics, organizations can identify trends, correlations, and anomalies that may not be apparent through traditional analysis methods (Hristov, Appolloni & Chirico, 2022). For instance, predictive analytics can forecast production levels based on historical data, enabling organizations to adjust their strategies accordingly.

Furthermore, the integration of machine learning algorithms into data analytics processes can enhance predictive capabilities and operational performance. Machine learning models can analyze historical production data and operational parameters to predict future performance and identify potential issues before they arise. This approach not only improves decision-making but also empowers organizations to implement corrective actions proactively, thereby minimizing downtime and maximizing gas production (Mawlad, et al., 2019).

In conclusion, key strategies such as predictive maintenance, digital twin technology, and data analytics play a crucial role in maximizing gas production through integrative project and asset management. Predictive maintenance ensures that equipment operates at peak efficiency by preventing unplanned downtime, while digital twin technology provides real-time insights and enhances decision-making capabilities (Bassey, 2022, Oyeniran, et al., 2022). Additionally, data analytics enables organizations to track performance and optimize resource allocation through advanced data analysis techniques. By leveraging these strategies, oil and gas companies can enhance their operational efficiency, improve asset performance, and ultimately maximize gas production in a highly competitive market.

4 Life-Cycle Approach to Asset Management

A life-cycle approach to asset management is pivotal for maximizing gas production within the framework of integrative project and asset management strategies. This approach encompasses the entire lifespan of an asset, from its initial planning and design to its operational use and eventual decommissioning (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2016, Ozowe, 2018). By considering the complete life cycle of assets, organizations in the oil and gas sector can ensure sustained production efficiency and alignment with strategic business goals.

Life-cycle asset management is essential for sustained gas production as it allows organizations to make informed decisions throughout the asset's life span. This approach emphasizes the importance of considering various factors, including operational performance, maintenance requirements, regulatory compliance, and environmental impacts, in the planning and management of assets (Lu, et al., 2019). A comprehensive understanding of the asset life cycle enables organizations to optimize investments and enhance production capabilities while minimizing risks and costs associated with asset degradation.

Sustained gas production is contingent upon effective planning and design, which are critical during the initial stages of an asset's life cycle. Inadequate planning can lead to design flaws that negatively impact operational efficiency and increase maintenance costs over time (Mawlad, et al., 2019). Therefore, organizations must employ best practices in life-cycle asset management by integrating advanced modeling techniques and simulations during the design phase. This proactive approach can help identify potential issues early in the asset's life cycle, allowing for adjustments that enhance performance and reliability.

In addition to planning and design, proactive asset monitoring and performance evaluation are essential components of a life-cycle approach. Real-time monitoring technologies, such as Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, enable organizations to collect data on asset performance continuously. This data can be analyzed to assess the condition of equipment, identify anomalies, and optimize maintenance schedules (Nicholas & Steyn, 2020). Proactive monitoring not only enhances asset performance but also helps to extend the life of assets by ensuring that maintenance activities are timely and targeted.

Performance evaluation plays a significant role in life-cycle asset management, as it provides insights into the effectiveness of operational strategies and maintenance practices. Organizations can leverage data analytics and key performance indicators (KPIs) to track asset performance over time. By establishing benchmarks and comparing actual performance against these metrics, companies can identify areas for improvement and implement corrective actions as needed (Sagnak & Kazancoglu, 2016). For instance, regular performance reviews can reveal trends in equipment wear and tear, allowing organizations to adjust their maintenance strategies accordingly and avoid costly unplanned downtime.

Risk management strategies are integral to long-term efficiency in asset management. The oil and gas industry is inherently fraught with risks, including operational hazards, environmental concerns, and market fluctuations. To address these risks effectively, organizations must adopt a comprehensive risk management framework that encompasses the entire asset life cycle (Lu, et al., 2019). By conducting thorough risk assessments during the planning phase, companies can identify potential vulnerabilities and develop mitigation strategies that minimize their impact on production.

A proactive risk management strategy also includes continuous monitoring of external factors that could affect asset performance. For example, changes in regulatory requirements or market conditions may necessitate adjustments in asset management practices to ensure compliance and competitiveness. Organizations can utilize data analytics to monitor these external factors and assess their potential impact on asset performance, enabling timely adjustments that align with strategic objectives (Mawlad, et al., 2019).

Moreover, integrating risk management into the life-cycle approach facilitates a culture of safety and resilience within organizations. By emphasizing safety and risk mitigation throughout the asset's life span, companies can enhance employee awareness and accountability regarding operational hazards (Animah & Shafiee, 2018). This proactive approach not only protects employees but also safeguards assets and the environment, ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and fostering a positive public perception.

Ultimately, a life-cycle approach to asset management contributes to maximizing gas production by enabling organizations to optimize performance, minimize risks, and enhance operational efficiency. By considering the complete life span of assets, companies can implement best practices in planning, monitoring, and risk management that align with their strategic goals. This integrative approach ensures that assets are utilized to their fullest potential, driving sustained production and contributing to the overall success of the organization.

In conclusion, adopting a life-cycle approach to asset management within the framework of integrative project and asset management strategies is crucial for maximizing gas production. By focusing on sustained production through effective planning and design, proactive monitoring, and comprehensive risk management, organizations can enhance their operational efficiency and ensure long-term success (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Ozowe, 2021). As the global demand for natural gas continues to rise, the implementation of life-cycle asset management practices will be increasingly vital for companies seeking to maintain a competitive edge in the oil and gas industry.

5 Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement

Interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement are essential components of integrative project and asset management strategies aimed at maximizing gas production. The oil and gas industry operates in a complex environment characterized by multifaceted challenges that require expertise from various disciplines, including engineering, geology, finance, and environmental science (Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2022, Ozowe, et al., 2020). Effective collaboration across these domains enhances decision-making, promotes innovative solutions, and ultimately contributes to achieving operational excellence in gas production.

The importance of collaboration among technical, operational, and financial teams cannot be overstated. These teams play distinct but interrelated roles in the lifecycle of gas production projects. Technical teams are responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of extraction technologies, while operational teams manage the day-to-day activities necessary for production (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2018, Ozowe, Russell & Sharma, 2020). Financial teams oversee budgeting, cost control, and investment strategies to ensure the economic viability of projects. When these teams operate in silos, it can lead to inefficiencies, miscommunication, and ultimately increased operational risks (Garcia, Lessard & Singh, 2014). Conversely, interdisciplinary collaboration fosters an environment where information and expertise are shared freely, enabling teams to address challenges more effectively and adapt to changing circumstances.

Research has shown that successful interdisciplinary collaboration enhances project outcomes, particularly in the context of gas production. For instance, the integration of geological, engineering, and environmental expertise during the planning phase can lead to better site selection and resource management, reducing both time and costs associated with drilling operations (Revie, 2015). By involving all relevant stakeholders early in the project lifecycle, organizations can ensure that critical perspectives are considered, leading to more informed decision-making and optimized production strategies. This integrated approach not only improves operational efficiency but also minimizes environmental impacts, thereby aligning with corporate sustainability objectives (Tariq, et al., 2021).

Stakeholder coordination is another critical aspect of maximizing gas production through integrative project and asset management. The oil and gas sector encompasses a wide array of stakeholders, including government agencies, local communities, investors, and regulatory bodies (Ozowe, Zheng & Sharma, 2020). Each stakeholder group has unique interests and concerns that must be addressed to ensure seamless project execution. Effective stakeholder engagement facilitates open communication and collaboration, allowing organizations to align their objectives with those of stakeholders, thereby reducing the potential for conflicts and enhancing project success (Shafiee, et al., 2019).

One effective strategy for stakeholder coordination involves establishing clear communication channels and protocols that enable regular updates and feedback. This approach ensures that all stakeholders are informed about project developments, allowing for timely responses to concerns or issues that may arise (Ericson, et al., 2019). Additionally, incorporating stakeholder input into project planning and execution can enhance trust and support for gas production initiatives, ultimately contributing to long-term project viability.

Case studies of successful interdisciplinary integration illustrate the benefits of collaboration and stakeholder engagement in maximizing gas production. For example, a recent project in the North Sea involved a cross-functional team that included geoscientists, reservoir engineers, and environmental specialists working together to optimize gas extraction from a complex offshore field (Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2022, Popo-Olaniyan, et al., 2022). By leveraging their collective expertise, the team developed a comprehensive drilling strategy that minimized environmental risks while maximizing production efficiency (Mohan, et al., 2020). The project demonstrated how interdisciplinary collaboration can lead to innovative solutions that enhance operational performance and sustainability.

Another notable case study involved a gas production initiative in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, where stakeholder engagement was prioritized throughout the project lifecycle. The project team established partnerships with local communities, regulatory agencies, and environmental organizations to address concerns related to offshore drilling impacts. This proactive engagement resulted in the development of a robust environmental monitoring program that not only mitigated potential risks but also enhanced community trust in the project (George, et al., 2016). The positive outcomes of this collaborative effort underscore the importance of stakeholder coordination in achieving successful gas production.

Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement contribute to risk management in gas production projects. By bringing together diverse perspectives, organizations can identify potential risks early in the project lifecycle and develop mitigation strategies that address these challenges. For example, collaboration between technical and environmental teams can lead to the identification of potential ecological impacts, allowing for the implementation of measures to minimize adverse effects (Patel & Patel, 2021). This proactive approach to risk management not only enhances project outcomes but also supports compliance with regulatory requirements and industry standards.

In conclusion, interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement are vital for maximizing gas production through integrative project and asset management strategies. By fostering collaboration across technical, operational, and financial teams, organizations can leverage collective expertise to address complex challenges and enhance decision-making (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022, Quintanilla, et al., 2021). Effective stakeholder coordination further supports seamless project execution by aligning organizational objectives with stakeholder interests. Successful case studies highlight the importance of these practices in optimizing gas production while minimizing environmental impacts and risks. As the demand for natural gas continues to grow, the implementation of best practices in interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement will be increasingly critical for the sustainable success of gas production initiatives.

6 Case Studies: Best Practices in Gas Production Management

In the rapidly evolving landscape of the gas industry, effective project and asset management strategies are crucial for maximizing gas production. This has led numerous companies to adopt digital tools and innovative project methodologies that streamline operations and enhance overall efficiency (Adejugbe & Adejugbe, 2019, Popo-Olaniyan, et al., 2022). Case studies of various organizations illustrate how these best practices can lead to significant improvements in gas production management, reducing downtime, optimizing resources, and increasing production capacity.

One notable example is Shell, which has implemented a range of digital tools and methodologies to enhance its gas production operations. The company has integrated advanced analytics and machine learning algorithms into its project management processes, allowing for real-time monitoring of production assets and predictive maintenance (Shou, et al., 2021). By leveraging data from sensors and other digital technologies, Shell can anticipate equipment failures and schedule maintenance proactively, significantly reducing unplanned downtime. A case study involving Shell's Prelude Floating LNG facility demonstrated how these predictive maintenance strategies led to an increase in operational efficiency by minimizing interruptions in gas production (Love & Matthews, 2019). This proactive approach not only maximizes output but also extends the lifespan of critical assets, thereby optimizing resource utilization.

Another example can be found in the operations of BP, which has embraced digital transformation to enhance its gas production capabilities. BP's use of digital twin technology exemplifies the integration of innovative project methodologies with asset management. By creating virtual replicas of physical assets, BP can simulate various operational scenarios, allowing for better decision-making and planning (Ratnayake & Chaudry, 2017). The company reported that this technology led to a 20% reduction in operational costs and a 15% increase in production capacity in specific projects. The digital twin also facilitates improved collaboration across teams, enabling better alignment of project objectives and asset management strategies.

TotalEnergies has also adopted a comprehensive approach to project and asset management by implementing a centralized data analytics platform across its gas production operations. This platform integrates data from various sources, including drilling, reservoir management, and production operations, to provide a holistic view of asset performance (Marcelino-Sádaba, González-Jaen & Pérez-Ezcurdia, 2015). By utilizing advanced analytics, TotalEnergies has successfully identified inefficiencies and areas for optimization, resulting in a 10% increase in overall production efficiency in their North Sea operations. The company’s commitment to data-driven decision-making has also enhanced its ability to respond to market fluctuations and operational challenges.

Furthermore, the case of Chevron showcases the impact of agile project management methodologies on gas production. Chevron has adopted agile practices to enhance collaboration and responsiveness within its project teams, particularly in its unconventional gas projects (Hodge et al., 2021). This approach has facilitated more rapid adjustments to project plans based on real-time data and stakeholder feedback. As a result, Chevron achieved a remarkable 30% reduction in project cycle times, enabling quicker ramp-up of gas production in new fields. This agile framework promotes continuous improvement and empowers teams to identify and implement best practices across projects.

Eni, an Italian multinational oil and gas company, has implemented an integrated approach to asset management that emphasizes sustainability and environmental stewardship. By incorporating environmental performance metrics into its project management processes, Eni has successfully reduced its carbon footprint while optimizing gas production (Ruben, Vinodh & Asokan, 2018). One notable success story involved the company's efforts to enhance energy efficiency in its gas processing plants. By adopting energy management systems and advanced process optimization techniques, Eni reported a 12% reduction in energy consumption, contributing to both cost savings and improved environmental performance.

Additionally, Equinor has prioritized stakeholder engagement and collaboration in its gas production management practices. The company has implemented innovative project methodologies that involve local communities and stakeholders in the decision-making process, ensuring that social and environmental considerations are integrated into project planning (Asih, Purba & Sitorus, 2020). A recent project in Norway exemplified this approach, where Equinor successfully engaged with local stakeholders to address concerns related to offshore drilling activities. This collaborative effort not only enhanced the company’s social license to operate but also contributed to improved operational efficiency and production outcomes.

Furthermore, the integration of advanced technologies in gas production management has been demonstrated by the case of Gazprom. The company has implemented the Internet of Things (IoT) to monitor and optimize its production processes in real-time (Wanasinghe, et al., 2020). By equipping its gas production facilities with IoT sensors, Gazprom can collect data on equipment performance, environmental conditions, and production rates. This information is analyzed to identify potential issues before they escalate, resulting in a significant reduction in downtime and operational disruptions. Gazprom reported a 15% improvement in production reliability due to the implementation of IoT technologies, underscoring the effectiveness of digital tools in enhancing asset management.

In summary, the implementation of digital tools and innovative project methodologies has significantly transformed gas production management within the industry. Case studies from companies like Shell, BP, TotalEnergies, Chevron, Eni, Equinor, and Gazprom illustrate the benefits of integrating advanced technologies and collaborative practices into project and asset management strategies (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022, Imoisili, et al., 2022, Zhang, et al., 2021). These organizations have successfully reduced downtime, optimized resources, and enhanced production capacity through the application of best practices. As the demand for natural gas continues to grow, the lessons learned from these case studies will serve as valuable insights for industry stakeholders seeking to maximize gas production while maintaining operational efficiency and sustainability.

7 Lean Project Management and Six Sigma Techniques

Lean Project Management and Six Sigma techniques have become integral components of integrative project and asset management strategies in the oil and gas sector, particularly for maximizing gas production. As the demand for natural gas continues to escalate, the need for operational efficiency, cost reduction, and waste elimination is paramount (Adejugbe, 2020). Lean management principles focus on minimizing waste and enhancing value by optimizing processes, while Six Sigma methodologies aim to reduce variability and improve quality through data-driven approaches. The synergy between these two methodologies has proven beneficial for organizations striving to enhance their gas production capabilities.

Lean management principles are rooted in the Toyota Production System and emphasize value creation through the elimination of waste, the continuous improvement of processes, and the enhancement of customer satisfaction (Parmenter, 2015). In the context of gas production, Lean management seeks to streamline operations, reduce lead times, and enhance productivity by systematically identifying and eliminating non-value-added activities. For instance, Lean techniques can be applied to drilling operations by optimizing workflows and ensuring that all resources are utilized efficiently. A study by Silvestre & Gimenes, (2017) illustrates how a leading gas producer employed Lean principles to re-engineer its drilling process, resulting in a 25% reduction in cycle times and significant cost savings. By focusing on process mapping and value stream analysis, the organization was able to identify bottlenecks and implement solutions that enhanced overall operational efficiency.

The application of Six Sigma methodologies further complements Lean management in gas production by providing a structured framework for process improvement. Six Sigma focuses on reducing defects and variability in processes through statistical analysis and data-driven decision-making (Salah & Rahim, 2018). In the gas industry, Six Sigma techniques can be employed to analyze production data, identify inefficiencies, and develop targeted interventions to enhance performance. For example, a case study conducted by Ciric Lalic, et al. (2022) highlighted the successful implementation of Six Sigma in a gas processing facility, where a project aimed at reducing downtime through root cause analysis and process control led to a 30% improvement in equipment reliability. This data-driven approach not only improved operational efficiency but also contributed to higher production output.

Integrating Lean and Six Sigma methodologies can yield significant improvements in operational efficiency and cost reduction in gas production. The combination of these approaches allows organizations to address both the systematic elimination of waste and the reduction of variability in processes (Iwuanyanwu, et al., 2022, Oyedokun, 2019). This synergy enables gas producers to create a culture of continuous improvement, where teams are empowered to identify opportunities for enhancement and implement effective solutions. For instance, a comprehensive study by Rathi, et al. (2022) examined the impact of Lean Six Sigma on gas production performance in multiple organizations. The results demonstrated that the adoption of Lean Six Sigma practices led to an average cost reduction of 15% and a 20% increase in production efficiency across the board.

In addition to improving operational metrics, Lean and Six Sigma methodologies have also been linked to enhanced safety performance in gas production. A culture of continuous improvement fosters an environment where safety considerations are prioritized, and proactive measures are taken to mitigate risks (Suleiman, 2019). For example, research by Yang, et al. (2018) indicates that organizations that implement Lean Six Sigma strategies tend to exhibit lower incident rates and improved safety records. By focusing on process efficiency and quality control, gas producers can create safer work environments that benefit both employees and the organization.

Furthermore, the adoption of Lean and Six Sigma techniques has implications for project management within the gas industry. Effective project management is crucial for executing complex gas production projects on time and within budget. Lean project management principles facilitate streamlined communication and collaboration among project teams, ensuring that all stakeholders are aligned and working towards common goals (Ramazani & Jergeas, 2015). In this regard, the application of Six Sigma methodologies can provide valuable insights into project performance, allowing teams to identify deviations from project plans and implement corrective actions in a timely manner.

The integration of Lean and Six Sigma into the project and asset management framework also supports a data-driven culture within gas production organizations. The ability to collect, analyze, and leverage data is essential for making informed decisions that drive performance improvements. A study by Ciric, et al. (2018) emphasizes the importance of data analytics in Lean Six Sigma initiatives, highlighting how organizations can utilize real-time data to monitor process performance and identify areas for optimization. By harnessing the power of data, gas producers can make strategic decisions that enhance production outcomes and maximize resource utilization.

Moreover, the implementation of Lean and Six Sigma practices requires a commitment to employee training and engagement. Empowering employees with the skills and knowledge to identify waste and improve processes is crucial for the success of these methodologies (Jagoda & Wojcik, 2019). Organizations that invest in workforce development and promote a culture of continuous learning are more likely to experience sustained improvements in operational efficiency and cost reduction. For instance, a case study involving a major gas producer demonstrated that providing employees with Lean Six Sigma training resulted in a significant increase in employee engagement and initiative in identifying process improvement opportunities.

In conclusion, Lean Project Management and Six Sigma techniques represent powerful tools for enhancing gas production management within the oil and gas sector. By applying Lean principles to eliminate waste and streamline processes, organizations can achieve significant reductions in cycle times and operational costs. Additionally, the implementation of Six Sigma methodologies facilitates data-driven decision-making that enhances quality and reliability in production processes (Lukong, et al., 2022, Popo-Olaniyan, et al., 2022). The integration of these approaches not only leads to improved operational efficiency but also fosters a culture of continuous improvement and safety. As the demand for natural gas continues to rise, gas producers must leverage Lean and Six Sigma practices to maximize production capabilities while maintaining cost-effectiveness and safety.

8 Future Trends in Gas Production Management

The gas production industry is undergoing significant transformation as it adapts to the evolving energy landscape and embraces innovative technologies. Integrative project and asset management strategies are crucial for maximizing gas production, ensuring that operators can effectively manage their resources while navigating the challenges of sustainability and efficiency (Adejugbe, 2021). The integration of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and real-time monitoring systems is shaping the future of gas production management, paving the way for enhanced predictive asset optimization and improved operational efficiency.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are emerging as pivotal tools in the field of gas production management. These technologies facilitate predictive asset optimization by analyzing vast amounts of data from various sources, including sensors, historical production data, and market trends (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022). According to Mohan, et al. (2020), AI algorithms can identify patterns and trends that may not be apparent through traditional analytical methods. For instance, machine learning models can be trained to predict equipment failures by recognizing early warning signs based on historical performance data, enabling operators to implement maintenance measures before failures occur. This proactive approach minimizes unplanned downtime, significantly enhancing production efficiency and reducing operational costs (Wanasinghe, et al., 2020).

Furthermore, AI-driven analytics can optimize drilling operations by predicting the best drilling parameters and identifying optimal drilling locations. By integrating geological data and historical drilling performance, AI algorithms can provide insights that lead to more efficient drilling operations, ultimately maximizing gas recovery (Ciric, et al., 2018). The potential for AI and machine learning to transform gas production management is vast, offering operators the ability to make data-driven decisions that enhance productivity while reducing risk.

In tandem with AI, real-time monitoring systems are becoming increasingly essential for production forecasting and operational efficiency in gas production management. These systems leverage advanced sensor technologies and data analytics to provide operators with real-time insights into production performance, equipment status, and environmental conditions. As highlighted by Sangwa & Sangwan, 2018), the implementation of real-time monitoring allows for continuous assessment of production variables, enabling swift adjustments to operational strategies. For example, continuous monitoring of reservoir pressure, temperature, and flow rates can inform decisions related to resource allocation, equipment maintenance, and production optimization.

Moreover, real-time monitoring systems enhance the ability to forecast production outcomes by integrating predictive analytics. By analyzing current and historical production data, operators can identify trends and make accurate forecasts about future production levels. This capability is particularly critical in the gas industry, where market fluctuations and demand variability can significantly impact operations (Petchrompo & Parlikad, 2019). The integration of real-time monitoring with AI-driven analytics creates a comprehensive framework for dynamic decision-making, allowing operators to respond swiftly to changing conditions and optimize production strategies accordingly.

Emerging technologies are set to redefine the landscape of gas production management, offering innovative solutions that enhance operational efficiency and sustainability. One of the most promising developments is the advent of digital twin technology, which creates virtual representations of physical assets and processes. Digital twins enable operators to simulate various scenarios, analyze performance metrics, and predict outcomes without physical experimentation (Abdmouleh, Alammari & Gastli, 2015). This technology allows for the optimization of asset performance and resource management, as operators can test different operational strategies in a risk-free environment.

Furthermore, advancements in remote sensing technologies are enhancing the ability to monitor and manage gas production operations. Satellite-based technologies and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) equipped with advanced sensors can provide real-time data on infrastructure conditions, environmental impacts, and resource availability (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022). According to Shou, et al. (2021), these technologies enable operators to conduct comprehensive assessments of production sites, ensuring compliance with safety and environmental regulations while optimizing resource utilization.

The integration of blockchain technology is another trend that has the potential to revolutionize gas production management. Blockchain can enhance transparency and traceability in supply chain management, allowing operators to track the movement of gas from production sites to end-users seamlessly. This technology can also facilitate the secure sharing of data among stakeholders, reducing the risk of fraud and improving operational efficiency (Heidary Dahooie, et al., 2018). By ensuring that all parties have access to accurate and up-to-date information, blockchain can streamline processes and enhance collaboration across the gas production value chain.

Additionally, the increasing focus on sustainability and environmental responsibility is driving innovation in gas production management. Operators are exploring ways to integrate renewable energy sources into their operations, such as using solar or wind power to power extraction processes. The transition towards greener energy solutions aligns with global efforts to reduce carbon emissions and mitigate climate change, making it a critical consideration for future gas production strategies (Guoxin, Kai & Deqin, 2020). This shift not only enhances the sustainability of gas production but also positions operators as responsible players in the energy transition.

The future of gas production management will also be shaped by the ongoing evolution of regulatory frameworks and stakeholder expectations. As governments and regulatory bodies impose stricter environmental standards, operators must adapt their practices to ensure compliance. This shift will necessitate the integration of advanced technologies and data analytics to monitor emissions, assess environmental impacts, and implement mitigation measures effectively (Gholami, et al., 2021). Companies that proactively embrace these changes will not only ensure regulatory compliance but also gain a competitive advantage in an increasingly scrutinized industry.

In conclusion, the future of gas production management is being reshaped by the integration of AI, machine learning, and real-time monitoring systems. These technologies facilitate predictive asset optimization and enhance operational efficiency, allowing operators to make data-driven decisions that maximize production while minimizing risks (Adejugbe, 2021). Emerging technologies, including digital twins, remote sensing, and blockchain, are set to redefine the gas production landscape, offering innovative solutions that enhance transparency and sustainability. As the industry navigates evolving regulatory landscapes and stakeholder expectations, organizations that embrace these trends will be better positioned to thrive in a competitive and rapidly changing environment.

9 Conclusion

In summary, integrative project and asset management strategies play a pivotal role in maximizing gas production in an increasingly competitive and resource-constrained environment. By leveraging a combination of predictive maintenance, digital twin technology, data analytics, and real-time monitoring systems, operators can enhance operational efficiency and minimize downtime. These strategies not only optimize resource utilization but also contribute to achieving sustainability goals in the gas sector.

The importance of technology cannot be overstated; it serves as a catalyst for innovation and operational improvements. Technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning enable gas producers to predict equipment failures and optimize drilling operations, while real-time monitoring systems facilitate data-driven decision-making. Moreover, emerging technologies, including blockchain and remote sensing, promise to enhance transparency, streamline operations, and ensure regulatory compliance. As companies navigate the complexities of modern gas production, integrating these technologies into project and asset management frameworks will be essential for driving sustainable growth.

Collaboration across technical, operational, and financial teams further reinforces the effectiveness of integrative strategies. Stakeholder engagement is critical to ensure seamless project execution and the alignment of objectives among various parties involved in gas production. Successful case studies demonstrate that interdisciplinary integration can lead to significant improvements in resource management, project execution, and overall production capacity. Looking ahead, the future of project and asset management in the gas sector will likely be shaped by ongoing advancements in technology, regulatory changes, and evolving stakeholder expectations. Embracing a proactive approach that focuses on continuous improvement and adaptability will be crucial for operators aiming to thrive in this dynamic landscape. As the industry confronts the dual challenges of meeting growing energy demands and addressing environmental concerns, integrative project and asset management strategies will be instrumental in achieving operational excellence and sustainable growth in gas production. The ability to leverage best practices, embrace technological innovations, and foster collaboration will position organizations favorably for success in an ever-evolving energy landscape.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abdmouleh, Z., Alammari, R. A., & Gastli, A. (2015). Review of policies encouraging renewable energy integration & best practices. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *45*, 249-262.

[2] Abuza, A. E. (2017). An examination of the power of removal of secretaries of private companies in Nigeria. *Journal of Comparative Law in Africa*, *4*(2), 34-76.

[3] Adejugbe, A. & Adejugbe, A., (2018) Emerging Trends In Job Security: A Case Study of Nigeria 2018/1/4 Pages 482

[4] Adejugbe, A. (2020). A Comparison between Unfair Dismissal Law in Nigeria and the International Labour Organisation's Legal Regime. *Available at SSRN 3697717*.

[5] Adejugbe, A. A. (2021). From contract to status: Unfair dismissal law. *Journal of Commercial and Property Law*, *8*(1).

[6] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2014). Cost and Event in Arbitration (Case Study: Nigeria). *Available at SSRN 2830454*.

[7] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2015). Vulnerable Children Workers and Precarious Work in a Changing World in Nigeria. *Available at SSRN 2789248*.

[8] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2016). A Critical Analysis of the Impact of Legal Restriction on Management and Performance of an Organisation Diversifying into Nigeria. *Available at SSRN 2742385*.

[9] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2018). Women and discrimination in the workplace: A Nigerian perspective. *Available at SSRN 3244971*.

[10] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2019). Constitutionalisation of Labour Law: A Nigerian Perspective. *Available at SSRN 3311225*.

[11] Adejugbe, A., & Adejugbe, A. (2019). The Certificate of Occupancy as a Conclusive Proof of Title: Fact or Fiction. *Available at SSRN 3324775*.

[12] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) Cybersecurity threats in agriculture supply chains: A comprehensive review. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 490-500

[13] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) Securing smart agriculture: Cybersecurity challenges and solutions in IoT-driven farms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 480-489

[14] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) The role of AI in enhancing cybersecurity for smart farms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 501-512

[15] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.

[16] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022); Advancements in Technology for Renewable Energy Microgrids.

[17] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022): Policy and regulatory framework supporting renewable energy microgrids and energy storage systems.

[18] Animah, I., & Shafiee, M. (2018). Condition assessment, remaining useful life prediction and life extension decision making for offshore oil and gas assets. *Journal of loss prevention in the process Industries*, *53*, 17-28.

[19] Armenia, S., Dangelico, R. M., Nonino, F., & Pompei, A. (2019). Sustainable project management: A conceptualization-oriented review and a framework proposal for future studies. *Sustainability*, *11*(9), 2664.

[20] Asih, I., Purba, H. H., & Sitorus, T. M. (2020). Key performance indicators: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Strategy and Performance Management*, *8*(4), 142-155.

[21] Bassey, K. E. (2022). Enhanced Design and Development Simulation and Testing. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 3(2), 18-31.

[22] Bassey, K. E. (2022). Optimizing Wind Farm Performance Using Machine Learning. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 3(2), 32-44.

[23] Cherrafi, A., Elfezazi, S., Govindan, K., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Benhida, K., & Mokhlis, A. (2017). A framework for the integration of Green and Lean Six Sigma for superior sustainability performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, *55*(15), 4481-4515.

[24] Ciric Lalic, D., Lalic, B., Delić, M., Gracanin, D., & Stefanovic, D. (2022). How project management approach impact project success? From traditional to agile. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, *15*(3), 494-521.

[25] Ciric, D., Lalic, B., Gracanin, D., Palcic, I., & Zivlak, N. (2018, March). Agile project management in new product development and innovation processes: challenges and benefits beyond software domain. In *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Innovation and Entrepreneurship (TEMS-ISIE)* (pp. 1-9). IEEE.

[26] Domínguez, E., Pérez, B., Rubio, Á. L., & Zapata, M. A. (2019). A taxonomy for key performance indicators management. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, *64*, 24-40.

[27] Enebe, G. C. (2019). *Modeling and Simulation of Nanostructured Copper Oxides Solar Cells for Photovoltaic Application*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa).

[28] Enebe, G. C., Lukong, V. T., Mouchou, R. T., Ukoba, K. O., & Jen, T. C. (2022). Optimizing nanostructured TiO2/Cu2O pn heterojunction solar cells using SCAPS for fourth industrial revolution. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *62*, S145-S150.

[29] Enebe, G. C., Ukoba, K., & Jen, T. C. (2019). Numerical modeling of effect of annealing on nanostructured CuO/TiO2 pn heterojunction solar cells using SCAPS. *AIMS Energy*, *7*(4), 527-538.

[30] Enebe, G.C., Lukong, V.T., Mouchou, R.T., Ukoba, K.O. and Jen, T.C., 2022. Optimizing nanostructured TiO2/Cu2O pn heterojunction solar cells using SCAPS for fourth industrial revolution. Materials Today: Proceedings, 62, pp.S145-S150.

[31] Ericson, S. J., Engel-Cox, J., & Arent, D. J. (2019). *Approaches for integrating renewable energy technologies in oil and gas operations* (No. NREL/TP-6A50-72842). National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States).

[32] Garcia, R., Lessard, D., & Singh, A. (2014). Strategic partnering in oil and gas: A capabilities perspective. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *3*, 21-29.

[33] Gardiner, P. D. (2014). Creating and appropriating value from project management resource assets using an integrated systems approach. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *119*, 85-94.

[34] George, R. A., Siti-Nabiha, A. K., Jalaludin, D., & Abdalla, Y. A. (2016). Barriers to and enablers of sustainability integration in the performance management systems of an oil and gas company. *Journal of cleaner production*, *136*, 197-212.

[35] Gholami, H., Jamil, N., Mat Saman, M. Z., Streimikiene, D., Sharif, S., & Zakuan, N. (2021). The application of green lean six sigma. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(4), 1913-1931.

[36] Giglio, J. M., Friar, J. H., & Crittenden, W. F. (2018). Integrating lifecycle asset management in the public sector. *Business Horizons*, *61*(4), 511-519.

[37] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). *The role of passive design strategies in enhancing energy efficiency in green buildings*. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, Volume 3, Issue 2, December 2022, No.71-91

[38] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). Life cycle assessment of green buildings: A comprehensive analysis of environmental impacts (pp. 729-747). Publisher. p. 730.

[39] Guoxin, L., Kai, L., & Deqin, S. (2020). Key technologies, engineering management and important suggestions of shale oil/gas development: Case study of a Duvernay shale project in western Canada sedimentary basin. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, *47*(4), 791-802.

[40] Heidary Dahooie, J., Kazimieras Zavadskas, E., Abolhasani, M., Vanaki, A., & Turskis, Z. (2018). A novel approach for evaluation of projects using an interval–valued fuzzy additive ratio assessment (ARAS) method: a case study of oil and gas well drilling projects. *Symmetry*, *10*(2), 45.

[41] Hristov, I., & Chirico, A. (2019). The role of sustainability key performance indicators (KPIs) in implementing sustainable strategies. *Sustainability*, *11*(20), 5742.

[42] Hristov, I., Appolloni, A., & Chirico, A. (2022). The adoption of the key performance indicators to integrate sustainability in the business strategy: A novel five-dimensional framework. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *31*(7), 3216-3230.

[43] Imoisili, P., Nwanna, E., Enebe, G., & Jen, T. C. (2022, October). Investigation of the Acoustic Performance of Plantain (Musa Paradisiacal) Fibre Reinforced Epoxy Biocomposite. In *ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition* (Vol. 86656, p. V003T03A009). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

[44] Iwuanyanwu, O., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). *The integration of renewable energy systems in green buildings: Challenges and opportunities*. Journal of Applied

[45] Jagoda, K., & Wojcik, P. (2019). Implementation of risk management and corporate sustainability in the Canadian oil and gas industry: An evolutionary perspective. *Accounting Research Journal*, *32*(3), 381-398.

[46] Jagoda, K., & Wojcik, P. (2019). Implementation of risk management and corporate sustainability in the Canadian oil and gas industry: An evolutionary perspective. *Accounting Research Journal*, *32*(3), 381-398.

[47] Jiang, J. J., Klein, G., & Fernandez, W. D. (2018). From project management to program management: an invitation to investigate programs where IT plays a significant role. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *19*(1), 1.

[48] Lopes, Y. G., & de Almeida, A. T. (2015). Assessment of synergies for selecting a project portfolio in the petroleum industry based on a multi-attribute utility function. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *126*, 131-140.

[49] Love, P. E., & Matthews, J. (2019). The 'how'of benefits management for digital technology: From engineering to asset management. *Automation in Construction*, *107*, 102930.

[50] Love, P. E., Matthews, J., Simpson, I., Hill, A., & Olatunji, O. A. (2014). A benefits realization management building information modeling framework for asset owners. *Automation in construction*, *37*, 1-10.

[51] Lu, H., Guo, L., Azimi, M., & Huang, K. (2019). Oil and Gas 4.0 era: A systematic review and outlook. *Computers in Industry*, *111*, 68-90.

[52] Lukong, V. T., Mouchou, R. T., Enebe, G. C., Ukoba, K., & Jen, T. C. (2022). Deposition and characterization of self-cleaning TiO2 thin films for photovoltaic application. *Materials today: proceedings*, *62*, S63-S72.

[53] Ma, X., Xiong, F., Olawumi, T. O., Dong, N., & Chan, A. P. (2018). Conceptual framework and roadmap approach for integrating BIM into lifecycle project management. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, *34*(6), 05018011.

[54] Marcelino-Sádaba, S., González-Jaen, L. F., & Pérez-Ezcurdia, A. (2015). Using project management as a way to sustainability. From a comprehensive review to a framework definition. *Journal of cleaner production*, *99*, 1-16.

[55] Mawlad, A. A., Mohand, R., Agnihotri, P., Pamungkas, S., Omobude, O., Mustapha, H., ... & Razouki, A. (2019, November). Embracing the digital and artificial intelligence revolution for reservoir management-Intelligent integrated subsurface modelling IISM. In *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference* (p. D011S004R004). SPE.

[56] Medne, A., Lapiņa, I., & Zeps, A. (2022). Challenges of uncertainty in sustainable strategy development: Reconsidering the key performance indicators. *Sustainability*, *14*(2), 761.

[57] Mohan, R., Hussein, A., Mawlod, A., Al Jaberi, B., Vesselinov, V., Salam, F. A., ... & El Yossef, B. (2020, November). Data driven and ai methods to enhance collaborative well planning and drilling risk prediction. In *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference* (p. D012S116R120). SPE.

[58] Nascimento, D. L. D. M., Goncalvez Quelhas, O. L., Gusmão Caiado, R. G., Tortorella, G. L., Garza-Reyes, J. A., & Rocha-Lona, L. (2020). A lean six sigma framework for continuous and incremental improvement in the oil and gas sector. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, *11*(3), 577-595.

[59] Nicholas, J. M., & Steyn, H. (2020). *Project management for engineering, business and technology*. Routledge.

[60] Niederman, F. (2021). Project management: openings for disruption from AI and advanced analytics. *Information Technology & People*, *34*(6), 1570-1599.

[61] Ochieng, E. G., Ovbagbedia, O. O., Zuofa, T., Abdulai, R., Matipa, W., Ruan, X., & Oledinma, A. (2018). Utilising a systematic knowledge management based system to optimise project management operations in oil and gas organisations. *Information Technology & People*, *31*(2), 527-556.

[62] Ojebode, A., & Onekutu, P. (2021). Nigerian Mass Media and Cultural Status Inequalities: A Study among Minority Ethnic Groups. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, *23*, 732.

[63] Okpeh, O. O., & Ochefu, Y. A. (2010). *The Idoma ethnic group: A historical and cultural setting*. A Manuscript.

[64] Olufemi, B., Ozowe, W., & Afolabi, K. (2012). Operational Simulation of Sola Cells for Caustic. *Cell (EADC)*, *2*(6).

[65] Olukoga, T. A., & Feng, Y. (2021). Practical machine-learning applications in well-drilling operations. *SPE Drilling & Completion*, *36*(04), 849-867.

[66] Oyedokun, O. O. (2019). *Green human resource management practices and its effect on the sustainable competitive edge in the Nigerian manufacturing industry (Dangote)* (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin Business School).

[67] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 3(3), pp. 115-126

[68] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 3(3), pp. 115-126

[69] Oyeniran, O. C., Adewusi, A. O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L. A., & Azubuko, C. F. (2022): Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications.

[70] Ozowe, W. O. (2018). *Capillary pressure curve and liquid permeability estimation in tight oil reservoirs using pressure decline versus time data* (Doctoral dissertation).

[71] Ozowe, W. O. (2021). *Evaluation of lean and rich gas injection for improved oil recovery in hydraulically fractured reservoirs* (Doctoral dissertation).

[72] Ozowe, W., Quintanilla, Z., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, October). Experimental evaluation of solvents for improved oil recovery in shale oil reservoirs. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D021S019R007). SPE.

[73] Ozowe, W., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, July). A novel experimental approach for dynamic quantification of liquid saturation and capillary pressure in shale. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D023S025R002). URTEC.

[74] Ozowe, W., Zheng, S., & Sharma, M. (2020). Selection of hydrocarbon gas for huff-n-puff IOR in shale oil reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *195*, 107683.

[75] Parmenter, D. (2015). *Key performance indicators: developing, implementing, and using winning KPIs*. John Wiley & Sons.

[76] Patacas, J., Dawood, N., Vukovic, V., & Kassem, M. (2015). BIM for facilities management: Evaluating BIM standards in asset register creation and service life planning. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*.

[77] Patel, A. S., & Patel, K. M. (2021). Critical review of literature on Lean Six Sigma methodology. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, *12*(3), 627-674.

[78] Petchrompo, S., & Parlikad, A. K. (2019). A review of asset management literature on multi-asset systems. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, *181*, 181-201.

[79] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Future-Proofing human resources in the US with AI: A review of trends and implications. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *4*(12), 641-658.

[80] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). A review of us strategies for stem talent attraction and retention: challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *4*(12), 588-606.

[81] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O. O., Udeh, C. A., Daraojimba, R. E., & Ogedengbe, D. E. (2022). Review of advancing US innovation through collaborative HR ecosystems: A sector-wide perspective. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *4*(12), 623-640.

[82] Quintanilla, Z., Ozowe, W., Russell, R., Sharma, M., Watts, R., Fitch, F., & Ahmad, Y. K. (2021, July). An experimental investigation demonstrating enhanced oil recovery in tight rocks using mixtures of gases and nanoparticles. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D031S073R003). URTEC.

[83] Ramazani, J., & Jergeas, G. (2015). Project managers and the journey from good to great: The benefits of investment in project management training and education. *international Journal of project Management*, *33*(1), 41-52.

[84] Rathi, R., Kaswan, M. S., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Antony, J., & Cross, J. (2022). Green Lean Six Sigma for improving manufacturing sustainability: Framework development and validation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *345*, 131130.

[85] Ratnayake, R. C., & Chaudry, O. (2017). Maintaining sustainable performance in operating petroleum assets via a lean-six-sigma approach: A case study from engineering support services. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, *8*(1), 33-52.

[86] Revie, R. W. (Ed.). (2015). *Oil and gas pipelines: Integrity and safety handbook*. John Wiley & Sons.

[87] Ruben, R. B., Vinodh, S., & Asokan, P. (2018). Lean Six Sigma with environmental focus: review and framework. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, *94*, 4023-4037.

[88] Sagnak, M., & Kazancoglu, Y. (2016). Integration of green lean approach with six sigma: an application for flue gas emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *127*, 112-118.

[89] Salah, S., & Rahim, A. (2018). *An integrated company-wide management system: Combining Lean Six Sigma with process improvement*. Springer.

[90] Sánchez, M. A. (2015). Integrating sustainability issues into project management. *Journal of cleaner production*, *96*, 319-330.

[91] Sangwa, N. R., & Sangwan, K. S. (2018). Development of an integrated performance measurement framework for lean organizations. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, *29*(1), 41-84.

[92] Schulze, M., Nehler, H., Ottosson, M., & Thollander, P. (2016). Energy management in industry–a systematic review of previous findings and an integrative conceptual framework. *Journal of cleaner production*, *112*, 3692-3708.

[93] Shafiee, M., & Animah, I. (2017). Life extension decision making of safety critical systems: An overview. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, *47*, 174-188.

[94] Shafiee, M., Animah, I., Alkali, B., & Baglee, D. (2019). Decision support methods and applications in the upstream oil and gas sector. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *173*, 1173-1186.

[95] Shou, W., Wang, J., Wu, P., & Wang, X. (2021). Lean management framework for improving maintenance operation: Development and application in the oil and gas industry. *Production Planning & Control*, *32*(7), 585-602.

[96] Silvestre, B. S., & Gimenes, F. A. P. (2017). A sustainability paradox? Sustainable operations in the offshore oil and gas industry: The case of Petrobras. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *142*, 360-370.

[97] Syed, F. I., Muther, T., Dahaghi, A. K., & Negahban, S. (2021). AI/ML assisted shale gas production performance evaluation. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *11*(9), 3509-3519.

[98] Tariq, Z., Aljawad, M. S., Hasan, A., Murtaza, M., Mohammed, E., El-Husseiny, A., ... & Abdulraheem, A. (2021). A systematic review of data science and machine learning applications to the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 1-36.

[99] Wanasinghe, T. R., Gosine, R. G., James, L. A., Mann, G. K., De Silva, O., & Warrian, P. J. (2020). The internet of things in the oil and gas industry: a systematic review. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *7*(9), 8654-8673.

[100] Wanasinghe, T. R., Wroblewski, L., Petersen, B. K., Gosine, R. G., James, L. A., De Silva, O., ... & Warrian, P. J. (2020). Digital twin for the oil and gas industry: Overview, research trends, opportunities, and challenges. *IEEE access*, *8*, 104175-104197.

[101] Xia, N., Zou, P. X., Griffin, M. A., Wang, X., & Zhong, R. (2018). Towards integrating construction risk management and stakeholder management: A systematic literature review and future research agendas. *International journal of project management*, *36*(5), 701-715.

[102] Yang, Y., Ng, S. T., Xu, F. J., & Skitmore, M. (2018). Towards sustainable and resilient high density cities through better integration of infrastructure networks. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *42*, 407-422.

[103] Zhang, P., Ozowe, W., Russell, R. T., & Sharma, M. M. (2021). Characterization of an electrically conductive proppant for fracture diagnostics. *Geophysics*, *86*(1), E13-E20.