

## Advances in expanding access to mental health and public health services: Integrated approaches to address underserved populations

Cordia Peter Ogbeta <sup>1,\*</sup>, Akachukwu Obianuju Mbata <sup>2</sup> and Kenneth Udemezue kata s <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Showcare Pharmacy Limited, Lokogoma Abuja, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> Kaybat Pharmacy and Stores, Benin, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup> Independent Researcher, Regina Canada.

World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 2022, 02(02), 058-065

Publication history: Received on 01 September 2022; revised on 12 October 2022; accepted on 15 October 2022

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53346/wjast.2022.2.2.0044>

### Abstract

Expanding access to mental and public health services through integrated approaches is essential to addressing the needs of underserved populations. This paper explores the conceptual framework of integration, emphasizing its principles, theoretical foundations, and relevance to vulnerable communities. It highlights key advancements in technological innovations such as telehealth and mobile solutions, community-based interventions, and culturally responsive programs. Policy shifts and legislative efforts have further supported integration by creating a conducive environment for coordinated care. However, challenges such as funding limitations, workforce shortages, and fragmented systems remain significant barriers. Opportunities for scaling successful models, leveraging community engagement, and advancing research into innovative frameworks are discussed. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers to drive sustainable progress in integrated healthcare delivery. By addressing challenges and harnessing opportunities, integrated approaches can significantly reduce health disparities and enhance outcomes for underserved populations.

**Keywords:** Integrated healthcare; Mental health services; Public health access; Underserved populations; Health equity; Community-based interventions

### 1. Introduction

Mental health and public health services are critical components of comprehensive healthcare systems, addressing the well-being of individuals and communities. Mental health pertains to emotional, psychological, and social well-being, while public health encompasses the broader efforts to prevent disease, promote health, and prolong life among populations (Sørvold et al., 2021). These areas are inherently interconnected, as mental well-being significantly influences physical health and vice versa. However, the delivery of these services often operates in silos, limiting the potential for holistic care (Kohrt et al., 2018).

Underserved populations, including those in rural areas, low-income groups, and marginalized communities, often face significant barriers to accessing mental and public health services (Mongelli, Georgakopoulos, & Pato, 2020). The primary challenges are geographic inaccessibility, financial constraints, and cultural stigmas. Furthermore, systemic inadequacies, such as insufficient funding, limited workforce availability, and fragmented care systems, exacerbate these difficulties (Schueller, Hunter, Figueroa, & Aguilera, 2019). As a result, disparities in health outcomes persist, with underserved populations experiencing higher rates of untreated mental health conditions and preventable diseases.

\* Corresponding author: Cordia Peter Ogbeta

Addressing these challenges requires integrated approaches that combine mental and public health services into cohesive care systems. Integration enables the identification and treatment of mental health concerns within broader health initiatives, reducing gaps in service delivery and promoting equity. This paper aims to explore the advancements in integrated approaches to mental and public health services, highlight the challenges faced, and provide actionable recommendations for improving access for underserved populations.

---

## **2. Conceptual Framework**

### **2.1. Definition and Principles of Integration**

Integration in mental and public health services refers to the systematic coordination of resources, policies, and practices to deliver comprehensive care that addresses mental and physical health needs (Organization, 2018). This approach seeks to dismantle the silos traditionally separating these domains, promoting synergy between service providers and enhancing patient outcomes. Integration principles include accessibility, person-centered care, continuity, and equity. By aligning services, integration ensures that individuals receive holistic interventions that cater to their diverse health needs within a unified system (Wakida et al., 2018).

A central tenet of integration is accessibility, which involves reducing barriers to care, whether geographical, financial, or systemic. Person-centered care prioritizes individual needs, ensuring culturally sensitive and tailored interventions. Continuity of care fosters seamless transitions across different levels of service, such as primary, secondary, and tertiary care, while equity ensures that underserved populations are prioritized to reduce disparities (Boggatz, 2020).

### **2.2. Theoretical Underpinnings and Relevance to Underserved Populations**

The theoretical foundation for integration is rooted in systems theory, which views healthcare as an interconnected network where changes in one domain influence others. This perspective underscores the importance of collaboration among stakeholders to optimize outcome (Hansen & Baroody, 2020). Additionally, the biopsychosocial model provides a relevant framework, recognizing that an interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors determines health. Integrated approaches operationalize these theories by addressing mental and public health within the same continuum, enabling more comprehensive responses to health challenges (Iasiello & Van Agteren, 2020).

Underserved populations often experience compounded barriers, including economic hardships, discrimination, and limited healthcare literacy, which amplify their vulnerability to unmet health needs. Integrated care models are particularly relevant for these groups because they streamline access to services and mitigate fragmentation (Patrício et al., 2020). For example, a community health center that incorporates behavioral health support into its primary care offerings allows patients to address mental health concerns without needing a separate referral or appointment. This reduces stigma and logistical hurdles, both of which are significant barriers for marginalized groups (Hunter et al., 2018).

### **2.3. Overview of Existing Frameworks and Their Applicability**

Numerous frameworks for integration have been developed, reflecting diverse settings and populations. The Collaborative Care Model (CCM) is one prominent example, designed to integrate behavioral health into primary care. It emphasizes the use of a multidisciplinary team, including a care manager, primary care provider, and behavioral health specialist, to deliver coordinated services (Ramanuj, Ferenchik, Docherty, Spaeth-Rublee, & Pincus, 2019). This model has shown efficacy in managing conditions like depression and anxiety within primary care environments, making it particularly suitable for underserved populations with limited access to specialty care (Lloyd & Newland, 2021).

Another influential framework is the Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH), which advocates for comprehensive, continuous, and coordinated care led by a primary provider. The PCMH model incorporates mental health as a core component, addressing it alongside other chronic conditions. It also emphasizes the use of health information technology to monitor outcomes and facilitate communication between providers (Johannes, 2020).

Globally, the World Health Organization's Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) provides a blueprint for integrating mental health into general healthcare systems, particularly in low-resource settings. This framework focuses on training non-specialist providers to identify and manage common mental health conditions, making it an effective tool for addressing workforce shortages in underserved areas (Chaulagain et al., 2020). The applicability of these frameworks varies depending on the context. Urban settings may benefit from models like CCM due to their capacity for multidisciplinary teams, while rural and low-income regions might find mhGAP more feasible given its

emphasis on task-shifting and resource optimization. Regardless of the framework, successful implementation requires adaptation to local needs, strong leadership, and sustainable funding.

In conclusion, integrated mental and public health services approaches are essential for addressing the multifaceted health challenges underserved populations face. By leveraging principles of accessibility, person-centered care, continuity, and equity, and drawing on robust theoretical foundations, integration offers a pathway to more holistic and effective healthcare. Existing frameworks provide valuable guidance, but their applicability hinges on contextual adaptation and resource alignment.

---

### **3. Key Advances in Expanding Access**

#### **3.1. Technological Innovations**

The rise of technology has significantly transformed the healthcare landscape, making it a pivotal tool for expanding access to mental and public health services. Telehealth, which involves the delivery of healthcare services through digital communication platforms, has revolutionized the way care is provided (Boppana, 2022). It allows individuals in remote or underserved areas to consult with healthcare providers without the need for travel, breaking down geographical barriers that have historically restricted access. For example, virtual therapy sessions enable patients to receive mental health support from licensed professionals, eliminating the stigma and inconvenience associated with in-person visits (Mahoney, 2020).

Mobile health solutions, which leverage smartphone applications and wearable devices, have also emerged as vital resources. These tools facilitate health monitoring, patient education, and real-time communication between patients and providers (Dinh-Le, Chuang, Chokshi, & Mann, 2019). For instance, apps designed for stress management and mindfulness help individuals track their mental well-being, while wearable devices monitor vital signs and alert users to potential health concerns. Such technologies are particularly beneficial for populations with limited access to traditional healthcare infrastructure, as they empower individuals to take a proactive role in their health management (Anikwe et al., 2022).

Furthermore, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are being integrated into health systems to enhance diagnostic accuracy and personalize treatment plans. AI-driven chatbots, for instance, can provide immediate mental health support, guiding users through evidence-based exercises and coping strategies. These innovations increase the efficiency of care delivery and address workforce shortages by complementing human providers (Chew & Achananuparp, 2022).

#### **3.2. Community-Based Interventions and Culturally Responsive Programs**

Community-based interventions play a critical role in addressing the unique needs of underserved populations. These interventions involve localized efforts to deliver health services in ways that are accessible, relevant, and culturally sensitive (Ramanadhan, Aronstein, Martinez-Dominguez, Xuan, & Viswanath, 2020). For example, peer support groups, facilitated by trained community members, provide a safe space for individuals to share their experiences and seek guidance. Such initiatives foster trust and engagement, particularly in communities where formal healthcare systems may be viewed skeptically (Riza et al., 2020).

Culturally responsive programs are equally important, as they ensure that care is tailored to diverse populations' beliefs, practices, and preferences. This approach involves training healthcare providers to recognize and respect cultural differences, enabling them to deliver care that aligns with patients' values. For instance, incorporating traditional healing practices alongside evidence-based treatments can enhance the acceptability and effectiveness of interventions (Ring, Nyquist, & Mitchell, 2018).

A notable example of a culturally responsive initiative is the use of promotoras de salud (community health workers) in Latino communities. These individuals, who share the cultural background and language of the population they serve, act as liaisons between the community and healthcare providers (Delgado, 2019). By offering education, navigation support, and emotional encouragement, promotoras de salud bridge gaps in understanding and trust, ultimately improving health outcomes.

#### **3.3. Policy Shifts and Legislative Efforts Supporting Integration**

Policy changes and legislative actions have been instrumental in creating an environment conducive to integration. Governments and international organizations have increasingly recognized the need to address mental and public

health in tandem, leading to developing policies prioritizing integrated care. For instance, the inclusion of behavioral health services in primary care settings has been incentivized through funding initiatives and reimbursement reforms in several countries (Søvdal et al., 2021).

Legislative efforts have also focused on reducing disparities in healthcare access. The expansion of insurance coverage to include mental health services, as mandated by parity laws in some regions, ensures that individuals have financial access to comprehensive care. Additionally, funding programs aimed at underserved areas, such as grants for rural health clinics, have bolstered the capacity of these facilities to deliver integrated services (Gabella, 2021).

Internationally, the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set forth by the United Nations highlight the importance of universal health coverage and the integration of mental health into public health systems (Organization, 2019). These goals have spurred global commitments to strengthen healthcare infrastructure, particularly in low-resource settings. Collaborative initiatives, such as partnerships between governments, non-governmental organizations, and private sector entities, have further accelerated progress by pooling resources and expertise (Mills, 2018).

---

## **4. Challenges and Opportunities**

### **4.1. Barriers to Effective Implementation of Integrated Approaches**

The implementation of integrated approaches to mental and public health services is fraught with challenges that hinder their effectiveness, particularly in underserved populations. One of the most significant barriers is inadequate funding. Despite growing recognition of the importance of mental health, budgets allocated for its integration with broader health services remain insufficient. This underfunding limits the ability to hire trained professionals, establish multidisciplinary teams, and develop the infrastructure necessary for integrated care delivery.

Workforce limitations further exacerbate these challenges. There is a global shortage of qualified healthcare providers, particularly in low-income and rural areas. This scarcity is even more pronounced in mental health, where specialists such as psychiatrists and counselors are often unavailable (Strasser & Strasser, 2020). The existing workforce is frequently overburdened, leading to burnout and reduced quality of care. Additionally, a lack of training in integrated care models leaves many providers unprepared to address both mental and physical health needs comprehensively (Britnell, 2019).

Fragmented healthcare systems present another significant obstacle. In many countries, mental health services are separate from primary care, creating logistical and bureaucratic barriers for patients seeking coordinated treatment (Organization, 2020). This disjointed approach often results in duplicated efforts, inefficiencies, and gaps in care. Furthermore, stigma surrounding mental health persists in many communities, deterring individuals from seeking help and complicating efforts to normalize its integration into public health initiatives.

Technology adoption, while promising, also poses challenges. Digital tools require reliable internet access and technological literacy, often lacking in underserved populations. Privacy concerns and data security issues further complicate the deployment of digital health solutions, as patients may be reluctant to share sensitive information online (Abernethy et al., 2022).

### **4.2. Opportunities for Scaling Successful Models and Improving Sustainability**

Despite these barriers, numerous opportunities exist to scale successful models and ensure their sustainability. One promising avenue is the use of task-shifting strategies, where non-specialist health workers are trained to deliver basic mental health services. This approach has effectively addressed workforce shortages and expanded access in resource-limited settings. For example, training primary care providers to screen for and manage common mental health conditions reduces the burden on specialists and facilitates early intervention (Marques, Pitarma, M. Garcia, & Pombo, 2019).

Community engagement is another critical opportunity. Involving local stakeholders in designing and implementing integrated care models ensures that services are culturally relevant and aligned with the population's needs. Grassroots organizations and community leaders can play a pivotal role in reducing stigma, increasing awareness, and fostering trust in the healthcare system (Wilkins & Alberti, 2019).

Advances in technology also present significant opportunities for scaling integrated care. Telecommunication tools, such as video conferencing and mobile health applications, can extend the reach of healthcare providers to remote areas.

Developing low-cost, user-friendly technologies tailored to underserved populations can bridge the digital divide and enhance accessibility. Integrating data analytics and artificial intelligence into health systems also enables more efficient resource allocation and personalized care (Wang, Su, Zhang, & Li, 2021).

Policy advocacy remains a key lever for change. Governments and international bodies can incentivize the adoption of integrated models through targeted funding, regulatory reforms, and capacity-building initiatives. Public-private partnerships offer another avenue for scaling successful models, as they bring together resources and expertise from multiple sectors to address complex healthcare challenges (De Weger, Van Vooren, Luijkx, Baan, & Drewes, 2018).

### **4.3. Future Areas of Research and Development**

The evolution of integrated care models necessitates ongoing research and innovation. One priority area is the evaluation of existing models to identify best practices and areas for improvement. Rigorous studies are needed to assess the long-term impact of integration on health outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and patient satisfaction. This evidence can guide policymakers and practitioners in scaling effective approaches.

Research into culturally tailored interventions is also critical. Understanding how cultural factors influence health behaviors and preferences allows for the development of services that resonate with diverse populations. Collaborative efforts between social scientists, healthcare providers, and community members can yield innovative solutions that bridge cultural gaps.

Advancements in technology require parallel research to optimize their implementation. Studies on digital tools' usability, efficacy, and ethical implications can inform their development and deployment. For instance, exploring how artificial intelligence can enhance diagnostic accuracy without compromising patient privacy is an important area of inquiry.

Another emerging field of research is the integration of social determinants of health into care models. Factors such as housing, education, and employment significantly influence health outcomes, yet they are often overlooked in traditional healthcare delivery. Investigating how to address these determinants within integrated frameworks can lead to more comprehensive and equitable care. Lastly, workforce development and training remain critical areas for exploration. Researching effective methods for equipping healthcare providers with the skills and knowledge needed for integration will enhance the capacity of health systems. Pilot programs that test innovative training models, such as simulation-based learning and interprofessional education, can offer valuable insights.

---

## **5. Conclusion and Recommendations**

The integration of mental and public health services is essential for addressing the complex health challenges faced by underserved populations. This paper has highlighted the critical role of integrated approaches in improving accessibility, reducing disparities, and fostering holistic care. Technological innovations such as telecommunication tools and mobile health applications have expanded the reach of services, while community-based interventions and culturally responsive programs have addressed the unique needs of diverse populations. Policy shifts and legislative efforts have created an enabling environment for integration, yet significant barriers such as funding limitations, workforce shortages, and systemic fragmentation persist.

Targeted actions are essential across multiple stakeholder domains to achieve sustainable progress in integrating mental and public health services. Policymakers must play a pivotal role by creating robust frameworks that support adopting integrated care models. A primary focus should be on securing adequate funding to ensure scalability and sustainability. Investments in workforce development, healthcare infrastructure, and technology specifically designed for underserved areas are critical to addressing existing disparities. By prioritizing these areas, policymakers can lay a strong foundation for equitable healthcare delivery.

Regulatory reforms are equally essential to incentivize the adoption of integrated approaches. Revising reimbursement policies to support multidisciplinary care and fostering collaboration between specialists and primary care providers are critical steps. Additionally, implementing legislation that ensures parity in the funding and coverage of mental and physical health services can significantly reduce disparities. Policymakers should also prioritize data-driven strategies, including developing comprehensive health information systems, to guide resource allocation, monitor progress, and identify areas for improvement.

Healthcare practitioners are the backbone of integrated care delivery, making their role indispensable in this framework. Expanding training programs to equip practitioners with the necessary skills to comprehensively address mental and physical health needs is a vital step. Interdisciplinary education and continuous professional development can further strengthen collaboration among various specialties. Practitioners must also engage deeply with communities, tailoring interventions to meet cultural and social needs. Leveraging telecommunication tools for remote consultations and monitoring technologies can improve accessibility and patient engagement, especially in resource-limited settings.

Researchers are instrumental in advancing integrated care by addressing existing gaps and refining current models. Longitudinal studies are essential to evaluate the long-term impact of integration on health outcomes, patient satisfaction, and cost-effectiveness. Comparative analyses of various frameworks can offer insights into best practices and their scalability across diverse settings. Innovative research exploring integrating social determinants of health and developing culturally sensitive interventions is critical to improving service delivery. Studies examining the ethical and practical implications of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence, can provide valuable guidance for their responsible implementation.

Collaboration among policymakers, practitioners, and researchers is essential to overcome barriers and harness opportunities for integrated healthcare. These stakeholders can drive sustainable progress toward comprehensive and equitable healthcare systems by aligning their efforts. Integrated approaches hold the potential to bridge gaps in access, improve outcomes, and ensure that underserved populations receive the care they deserve.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

## References

- [1] Abernethy, A., Adams, L., Barrett, M., Bechtel, C., Brennan, P., Butte, A., . . . Halamka, J. (2022). The promise of digital health: then, now, and the future. *NAM perspectives*, 2022.
- [2] Anikwe, C. V., Nweke, H. F., Ikegwu, A. C., Egwuonwu, C. A., Onu, F. U., Alo, U. R., & Teh, Y. W. (2022). Mobile and wearable sensors for data-driven health monitoring system: State-of-the-art and future prospect. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 202, 117362.
- [3] Boggatz, T. (2020). *Quality of life and person-centered care for older people*: Springer.
- [4] Boppana, V. R. (2022). Impact of Telemedicine Platforms on Patient Care Outcomes. *Innovative Engineering Sciences Journal*, 2(1).
- [5] Britnell, M. (2019). *Human: solving the global workforce crisis in healthcare*: Oxford University Press.
- [6] Chaulagain, A., Pacione, L., Abdulmalik, J., Hughes, P., Oksana, K., Chumak, S., . . . Gasparyan, K. (2020). Who mental health gap action programme intervention guide (mhGAP-IG): the first pre-service training study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 14, 1-17.
- [7] Chew, H. S. J., & Achananuparp, P. (2022). Perceptions and needs of artificial intelligence in health care to increase adoption: scoping review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 24(1), e32939.
- [8] De Weger, E., Van Vooren, N., Luijkx, K., Baan, C., & Drewes, H. (2018). Achieving successful community engagement: a rapid realist review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 1-18.
- [9] Delgado, M. (2019). *Community health workers in action: The efforts of "Promotores de Salud" in bringing health care to marginalized communities*: Oxford University Press.
- [10] Dinh-Le, C., Chuang, R., Chokshi, S., & Mann, D. (2019). Wearable health technology and electronic health record integration: scoping review and future directions. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 7(9), e12861.
- [11] Gabella, J. (2021). Mental health care disparity: The highs and lows of parity legislation. *Health Matrix*, 31, 377.
- [12] Hansen, S., & Baroody, A. J. (2020). Electronic health records and the logics of care: complementarity and conflict in the US healthcare system. *Information Systems Research*, 31(1), 57-75.

- [13] Hunter, C. L., Funderburk, J. S., Polaha, J., Bauman, D., Goodie, J. L., & Hunter, C. M. (2018). Primary Care Behavioral Health (PCBH) model research: Current state of the science and a call to action. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 25*, 127-156.
- [14] Iasiello, M., & Van Agteren, J. (2020). Mental health and/or mental illness: A scoping review of the evidence and implications of the dual-continua model of mental health. *Evidence Base: A journal of evidence reviews in key policy areas*(1), 1-45.
- [15] Johannes, B. L. (2020). The Effect of Patient-Centered Medical Homes (PCMH) on Rural Population Health.
- [16] Kohrt, B. A., Asher, L., Bhardwaj, A., Fazel, M., Jordans, M. J., Mutamba, B. B., . . . Patel, V. (2018). The role of communities in mental health care in low-and middle-income countries: a meta-review of components and competencies. *International journal of environmental research and public health, 15*(6), 1279.
- [17] Lloyd, M. M., & Newland, J. A. (2021). Collaborative treatment with primary care. *Child and adolescent behavioral health: A resource for advanced practice psychiatric and primary care practitioners in nursing, 472-482*.
- [18] Mahoney, M. F. (2020). Telehealth, telemedicine, and related technologic platforms: current practice and response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Wound Ostomy & Continence Nursing, 47*(5), 439-444.
- [19] Marques, G., Pitarma, R., M. Garcia, N., & Pombo, N. (2019). Internet of things architectures, technologies, applications, challenges, and future directions for enhanced living environments and healthcare systems: a review. *Electronics, 8*(10), 1081.
- [20] Mills, C. (2018). From 'invisible problem' to global priority: The inclusion of mental health in the sustainable development goals. *Development and Change, 49*(3), 843-866.
- [21] Mongelli, F., Georgakopoulos, P., & Pato, M. T. (2020). Challenges and opportunities to meet the mental health needs of underserved and disenfranchised populations in the United States. *Focus, 18*(1), 16-24.
- [22] Organization, W. H. (2018). Continuity and coordination of care: a practice brief to support implementation of the WHO Framework on integrated people-centred health services.
- [23] Organization, W. H. (2019). *Report of the Global conference on primary health care: from Alma-Ata towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals*. Retrieved from
- [24] Organization, W. H. (2020). Operational framework for primary health care: transforming vision into action.
- [25] Patrício, L., Sangiorgi, D., Mahr, D., Čaić, M., Kalantari, S., & Sundar, S. (2020). Leveraging service design for healthcare transformation: Toward people-centered, integrated, and technology-enabled healthcare systems. *Journal of Service Management, 31*(5), 889-909.
- [26] Ramanadhan, S., Aronstein, D., Martinez-Dominguez, V., Xuan, Z., & Viswanath, K. (2020). Designing capacity-building supports to promote evidence-based programs in community-based organizations working with underserved populations. *Progress in community health partnerships: research, education, and action, 14*(2), 149-160.
- [27] Ramanuj, P., Ferenchik, E., Docherty, M., Spaeth-Rublee, B., & Pincus, H. A. (2019). Evolving models of integrated behavioral health and primary care. *Current psychiatry reports, 21*, 1-12.
- [28] Ring, J., Nyquist, J., & Mitchell, S. (2018). *Curriculum for culturally responsive health care: The step-by-step guide for cultural competence training*: CRC Press.
- [29] Riza, E., Kalkman, S., Coritsidis, A., Koubardas, S., Vassiliu, S., Lazarou, D., . . . Psaltopoulou, T. (2020). *Community-based healthcare for migrants and refugees: a scoping literature review of best practices*. Paper presented at the Healthcare.
- [30] Schueller, S. M., Hunter, J. F., Figueroa, C., & Aguilera, A. (2019). Use of digital mental health for marginalized and underserved populations. *Current Treatment Options in Psychiatry, 6*, 243-255.
- [31] Søvold, L. E., Naslund, J. A., Kousoulis, A. A., Saxena, S., Qoronfleh, M. W., Grobler, C., & Münter, L. (2021). Prioritizing the mental health and well-being of healthcare workers: an urgent global public health priority. *Frontiers in public health, 9*, 679397.
- [32] Strasser, R., & Strasser, S. (2020). Reimagining primary health care workforce in rural and underserved settings.
- [33] Wakida, E. K., Talib, Z. M., Akena, D., Okello, E. S., Kinengyere, A., Mindra, A., & Obua, C. (2018). Barriers and facilitators to the integration of mental health services into primary health care: a systematic review. *Systematic reviews, 7*, 1-13.

- [34] Wang, Q., Su, M., Zhang, M., & Li, R. (2021). Integrating digital technologies and public health to fight Covid-19 pandemic: key technologies, applications, challenges and outlook of digital healthcare. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(11), 6053.
- [35] Wilkins, C. H., & Alberti, P. M. (2019). Shifting academic health centers from a culture of community service to community engagement and integration. *Academic Medicine*, 94(6), 763-767.