World Journal of
Engineering and Technology Research
Journal homepage: https://zealjournals.com/wjetr/
ISSN: 2945-316X (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Advancing process safety management systems in the oil and gas industry: Strategies for risk mitigation

Friday Emmanuel Adikwu [1, *], Andrew Emuobosa Esiri [2], Adeoye Taofik Aderamo [3], Oluwaseyi Ayotunde Akano [4] and Ovie Vincent Erhueh [5]

[1] *Waltersmith Refining and Petrochemical Company Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Houston, TX, USA.*
[3] *Independent Researcher; Lagos Nigeria.*
[4] *Chevron Nigeria Limited, Nigeria.*
[5] *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, 2024, 03(02), 001–010

Publication history: Received on 02 October 2024; revised on 09 November 2024; accepted on 12 November 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53346/wjetr.2024.3.2.0058

Abstract

Process Safety Management (PSM) is critical for mitigating operational risks and enhancing worker safety in the oil and gas industry. This paper explores the essential components of PSM systems, such as hazard analysis, incident investigation, and management of change, highlighting the role of safety culture, leadership, and accountability. Key challenges in implementing effective PSM, including aging infrastructure and compliance issues, and lessons learned from industry incidents are examined. The paper presents strategies for improving risk mitigation through advanced technologies like digital tools, predictive maintenance, real-time monitoring, and continuous workforce training and engagement. The findings underscore the need for a balanced approach that integrates human oversight with technology to ensure more effective risk management. Recommendations include further investments in digital transformation, stronger leadership commitment to safety, and collaborative efforts with regulatory bodies to improve industry standards. The oil and gas sector can significantly reduce risks and improve operational safety by adopting these strategies.

Keywords: Process Safety Management; Risk Mitigation; Oil and Gas Industry; Hazard Analysis; Predictive Maintenance; Safety Culture

1. Introduction

Process safety management (PSM) is a structured approach designed to prevent or minimize the occurrence of catastrophic events in industries handling hazardous substances, such as oil and gas. The oil and gas industry is characterized by complex operations that involve the extraction, production, refining, and distribution of hydrocarbons (Shanmugam & Razak, 2021). These processes often expose the industry to significant risks, including fires, explosions, toxic releases, and environmental damage. Due to the high-risk nature of operations, effective PSM is essential for ensuring the safety of workers, assets, and the environment (Khan, Sattari, Lefsrud, & Tufail, 2021).

The PSM framework, first outlined by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in the United States, comprises a set of interrelated elements that aim to identify, evaluate, and control process hazards (Chizaram Dagogo Nwankwo, 2020). These elements include process hazard analysis (PHA), incident investigation, mechanical integrity, emergency preparedness, and employee training. The goal is to integrate safety into every aspect of the process lifecycle, from design to decommissioning. While initially mandated in the U.S., similar regulations have been adopted worldwide

* Corresponding author: Friday Emmanuel Adikwu

to ensure that companies in the oil and gas sector adhere to stringent safety practices. For instance, the European Union's Seveso Directive and other international guidelines enforce process safety across different jurisdictions, emphasizing the importance of global regulatory compliance in managing process safety (Amyotte & Khan, 2021).

The oil and gas industry is inherently dangerous due to its reliance on high-pressure systems, flammable materials, and potentially unstable processes. Operational risks in this sector can lead to devastating accidents, with severe consequences for human life, the environment, and business continuity (Crolius, Pugh, Morris, & Valera-Medina, 2021). One of the primary roles of PSM is to reduce these operational risks by ensuring that all systems and processes are designed and operated safely. By systematically identifying potential hazards, evaluating their severity, and implementing effective controls, PSM helps companies avoid accidents before they occur (Pasman, Sripaul, Khan, & Fabiano, 2023).

An effective PSM system enhances worker safety by establishing a culture of safety across all organizational levels. Employees are trained to recognize potential hazards, participate in hazard analyses, and follow safety procedures. This proactive approach to managing risks protects workers from immediate physical harm and ensures long-term health and safety by preventing exposure to toxic substances and unsafe working conditions. Moreover, the implementation of PSM has been shown to reduce the frequency and severity of incidents in oil and gas operations, leading to lower accident rates and improved safety performance (Anwar, Mustafa, & Ali, 2019).

In addition to worker safety, PSM protects the environment and maintains operational integrity. Environmental incidents such as oil spills and gas leaks can have far-reaching consequences, affecting local ecosystems, wildlife, and communities. Through risk assessments, incident investigations, and strict adherence to regulatory standards, PSM helps prevent environmental disasters, ensuring that companies remain compliant with legal and environmental obligations. This is particularly important as global attention on climate change and sustainability grows, with oil and gas companies facing increasing scrutiny over their environmental impact (Chizaram D Nwankwo, Theophilus, & Arewa, 2020).

The main objective of this paper is to explore the strategies for advancing process safety management systems in the oil and gas industry, focusing on ways to mitigate risks and improve worker safety. The oil and gas sector has historically faced challenges in managing process safety, and while progress has been made, incidents still occur. This paper seeks to examine the key components of effective PSM systems, assess the challenges in implementing these systems, and propose practical strategies for improving risk mitigation through the adoption of enhanced PSM practices.

The relevance of this paper to industry practices lies in the evolving nature of the oil and gas sector. As operations become more complex, companies must adopt more sophisticated approaches to manage risks. The growing use of automation, digital technologies, and data analytics presents new opportunities for improving process safety. However, it also introduces new challenges, such as cyber threats, that must be managed within the broader PSM framework. Additionally, the increasing focus on sustainability and environmental responsibility means that oil and gas companies must continuously evolve their safety practices to meet regulatory and societal expectations.

In light of these developments, this paper aims to provide valuable insights into how the oil and gas industry can further advance its PSM systems to address both traditional and emerging risks. The discussion will include an analysis of best practices, case studies of successful implementation, and recommendations for future improvements. These insights are intended to benefit industry professionals, regulators, and stakeholders who are invested in ensuring oil and gas facilities' safe and sustainable operation.

2. Key Components of Process Safety Management Systems

2.1 Exploration of Essential PSM Elements

Process Safety Management (PSM) systems are made up of multiple interrelated elements designed to prevent accidents and incidents in hazardous industries such as oil and gas (Behie, Halim, Efaw, O'Connor, & Quddus, 2020). These elements collectively work to ensure that the processes involved in handling hazardous substances are controlled, safe, and sustainable. Among the most critical of these elements are process hazard analysis (PHA), incident investigation, mechanical integrity, and the management of change (MOC). Each plays a unique role in reducing risks and ensuring the safety of both the workforce and the environment (Tang, 2021).

Process Hazard Analysis (PHA) is one of the core components of any PSM system. This element involves a thorough review of the potential hazards that exist within a facility's processes. In the oil and gas industry, this includes

identifying possible failure points in high-pressure systems, chemical reactions, or equipment malfunctions that could lead to fires, explosions, or toxic releases. By identifying hazards in advance, PHA enables companies to implement appropriate safeguards that minimize the risks of accidents (Liaw, 2023).

Incident Investigation is another essential component that comes into play when things go wrong. No matter how robust a system is, incidents can and do occur (Kletz & Amyotte, 2019). The key to reducing future risks lies in understanding the root causes of these incidents. Incident investigation involves analyzing the sequence of events that led to an accident or a near miss, identifying contributing factors, and making recommendations for preventing similar occurrences in the future. A robust incident investigation process is indispensable in oil and gas, where accidents can have catastrophic consequences (Organization, 2020).

Mechanical Integrity refers to ensuring that equipment used in the industry, from pipelines to pressure vessels and safety-critical systems, is maintained and operates as intended. This involves regular inspections, testing, and maintenance to detect signs of wear and tear, corrosion, or malfunction before they result in operational failure. Given the hazardous nature of oil and gas processing, ensuring mechanical integrity is critical to preventing unexpected breakdowns that could result in fires, explosions, or leaks (Amaechi, Hosie, & Reda, 2022).

Management of Change (MOC) is an integral part of process safety management that ensures any modifications to equipment, processes, or personnel are thoroughly evaluated for their potential impact on safety. Even small changes in the process flow, design, or materials can introduce new hazards or exacerbate existing risks in the oil and gas sector. The MOC process ensures that these changes are carefully assessed, documented, and communicated to all relevant personnel. This systematic approach prevents unintended consequences and ensures that safety measures remain effective even as operations evolve (Patterson & Wigham, 2019).

2.2 Role of Safety Culture, Leadership, and Accountability

While the technical aspects of PSM are critical, the effectiveness of these systems hinges on the presence of a strong safety culture within the organization. A safety culture refers to the shared attitudes, beliefs, and values regarding safety that permeate an organization. In the oil and gas industry, cultivating a safety culture means prioritizing safety at all levels—from the boardroom to the rig floor. It involves complying with regulations and following procedures and encouraging open communication, learning from incidents, and fostering a mindset that views safety as integral to operational excellence (Bisbey et al., 2021).

Leadership plays a pivotal role in establishing and maintaining a strong safety culture. In many instances, the tone for safety is set by senior management, who must visibly demonstrate their commitment to safety through their actions and decisions. Leaders in oil and gas companies must ensure that safety is integrated into the business strategy, allocating adequate resources for safety programs and continuously evaluating the effectiveness of PSM systems. Strong leadership ensures that safety initiatives are not treated as a checkbox exercise but are ingrained in the organization's DNA (Roughton, Crutchfield, & Waite, 2019).

Accountability is another crucial aspect of a safety culture. For a PSM system to be effective, individuals at all levels of the organization must take responsibility for their role in maintaining safety. This means ensuring that workers follow established procedures, report hazards or unsafe conditions, and participate actively in safety training. At the management level, accountability ensures that safety policies are enforced and any deviations are promptly addressed. In the oil and gas industry, where lives and the environment are at stake, ensuring accountability is not optional—it is a necessity (Timbang, Prasad, & Azri, 2023).

2.3 Regulatory Standards and Industry Guidelines for PSM in Oil and Gas

The regulatory environment surrounding PSM in the oil and gas industry is extensive and highly structured, driven by the need to protect workers, the public, and the environment from the risks associated with hazardous processes. Globally, numerous regulatory bodies and industry associations have developed standards that dictate how PSM should be implemented and maintained (Jung, Woo, & Kang, 2020).

In the United States, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has been at the forefront of regulating process safety in the industry, particularly through its PSM Standard (29 CFR 1910.119), which requires companies to implement a comprehensive PSM system if they handle specific hazardous chemicals or exceed threshold quantities. This regulation mandates the use of PSM elements such as process hazard analysis, incident investigation, and emergency planning. Companies are required to document their compliance with these elements and ensure that their PSM systems are regularly audited and updated (Chizaram D Nwankwo et al., 2020).

Outside the U.S., other major regulatory frameworks exist. In the European Union, the Seveso III Directive governs the management of major accident hazards involving dangerous substances. This directive requires oil and gas companies to implement measures to prevent major accidents and limit their consequences for human health and the environment. Companies must conduct hazard analyses, develop safety reports, and establish emergency plans to comply with the directive (Laurent, Pey, Gurtel, & Fabiano, 2021).

In addition to government regulations, the oil and gas industry also relies heavily on industry guidelines and best practices developed by organizations such as the American Petroleum Institute (API) and the Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS). These organizations provide detailed guidance on implementing and maintaining PSM systems, often going beyond the minimum regulatory requirements to promote industry-wide excellence in process safety. API standards, for example, offer best practices for managing mechanical integrity, conducting hazard analyses, and managing changes in the industry (Tang, 2021).

3. Challenges in Implementing PSM in the Oil and Gas Industry

3.1 Identifying Specific Challenges Faced by the Sector

Implementing Process Safety Management (PSM) in the oil and gas industry comes with unique challenges, given the sector's complex operations, high-risk environments, and often aging infrastructure. The industry's reliance on massive, intricate facilities that handle hazardous substances, such as oil refineries, offshore platforms, and chemical plants, introduces significant safety risks (Khan et al., 2021). As these facilities age, their susceptibility to accidents increases, and the task of maintaining mechanical integrity becomes more demanding. Additionally, oil and gas operations often occur in geographically challenging environments, such as deep-water drilling or remote, high-pressure locations, further complicating the implementation of comprehensive PSM systems (Onita & Ochulor, 2024).

Aging infrastructure is one of the most significant challenges in maintaining process safety. Many oil and gas facilities worldwide have been in operation for decades. As these facilities grow older, their equipment and systems wear out and degrade. Maintaining the integrity of aging infrastructure requires substantial investments in regular maintenance, upgrades, and inspections, which some companies may be reluctant or unable to provide. Failures in old equipment, such as pipelines, valves, or pressure vessels, can lead to catastrophic accidents, causing fires, explosions, or toxic releases. Ensuring that process safety measures account for the unique risks posed by aging infrastructure is a continuous and expensive challenge (Ferreira, Martins, de Figueiredo, & Gagno, 2020).

In addition to physical infrastructure concerns, the high-risk environments in which oil and gas companies operate present substantial challenges. Many oil and gas operations are carried out in extreme environments, such as offshore drilling in the deep sea or production in arctic regions. These harsh conditions make it more difficult to implement and monitor PSM systems effectively. Workers in these environments face greater risks, and the remote nature of operations makes responding to incidents more challenging. The physical and logistical constraints associated with operating in these environments necessitate robust safety systems, but at the same time, complicate their implementation (Mohammed, Reinecke, Burnap, Rana, & Anthi, 2022).

Compliance with PSM regulations also remains a significant challenge, particularly in regions with developing regulatory frameworks or weak enforcement mechanisms. While many countries have developed strict regulations for process safety, not all nations enforce these rules with the same level of rigor. In some developing countries, regulations may be less comprehensive, or enforcement may be inconsistent due to corruption, lack of resources, or bureaucratic inefficiencies. This uneven regulatory landscape means that multinational oil and gas companies must navigate a complex array of standards and requirements, ensuring compliance in regions with varying levels of safety oversight (Hong & Teh, 2019).

3.2 Analysis of Industry Incidents and Lessons Learned from Failures in PSM

Over the years, the oil and gas industry has been marred by several high-profile accidents that have highlighted the importance of robust PSM systems. These incidents often result in significant loss of life, environmental damage, and economic costs, providing valuable lessons on the failures of PSM and the need for continual improvement. One of the most well-known incidents is the Deepwater Horizon disaster in 2010. This catastrophic offshore oil rig explosion in the Gulf of Mexico resulted in 11 deaths, the release of millions of barrels of oil into the sea, and widespread environmental devastation. A subsequent investigation revealed several lapses in process safety management, including poor risk management, failure to follow proper procedures, and inadequate safety culture. The Deepwater

Horizon incident underscored the importance of strong leadership, accountability, and continuous monitoring in ensuring process safety, especially in high-risk environments (Tholstrup, Moore, Mouneimne, & Abdulrahman, 2020).

Another example is the Texas City Refinery explosion in 2005, which resulted in 15 deaths and over 170 injuries. The investigation into the accident found that the refinery had a poor safety culture, with cost-cutting measures leading to the neglect of necessary maintenance and safety procedures. The incident also revealed weaknesses in the management of change (MOC) process, as equipment modifications were not properly evaluated for their safety impact. This tragedy demonstrated the importance of following safety procedures and fostering a strong organizational culture where safety is prioritized over production goals (Anwar et al., 2019).

These and other incidents show how failures in PSM can have devastating consequences, but they also provide critical lessons for the industry. One key lesson is the need for continuous improvement in safety systems. Many of the failures leading to these accidents were due to complacency, poor risk assessment, and inadequate incident investigation processes. Ensuring that lessons from past incidents are incorporated into future safety strategies is essential for preventing repeat occurrences.

3.3 Barriers to Adoption and Integration of Robust PSM Systems

Despite the clear benefits of implementing PSM, several barriers exist that prevent oil and gas companies from fully adopting and integrating robust systems. These barriers often stem from economic, organizational, and cultural factors, as well as the inherent complexity of the industry itself. One of the primary barriers is cost. Implementing comprehensive PSM systems requires significant financial investments in training, equipment upgrades, and regular safety audits. In an industry where profit margins can be tight—particularly during periods of low oil prices—companies may prioritize short-term financial gains over long-term safety investments. This cost-driven mentality can lead to the deferment of critical safety improvements, increasing the risk of accidents. Small to medium-sized operators, in particular, may struggle with the financial burden of implementing robust PSM systems, especially if they operate in regions with weaker regulatory oversight (Ali, Edghiem, & Alkhalifah, 2023).

Organizational resistance to change is another significant barrier to the adoption of PSM. Implementing a successful process safety management system requires a cultural shift within the organization, with safety becoming a core value rather than an afterthought. In many cases, workers and management may resist change, particularly if they have grown accustomed to certain operational practices prioritizing efficiency over safety. Overcoming this resistance requires strong leadership, clear communication, and consistent enforcement of safety policies (Al Mazrouei, Khalid, Davidson, & Abdallah, 2019).

Complexity in operational processes also serves as a barrier. The oil and gas industry involves highly intricate operations, from drilling to refining, each with unique safety challenges. Integrating PSM systems across different operations, particularly in large, multinational companies, can be daunting. Differences in operational procedures, equipment, and risk profiles across facilities complicate the standardization of safety processes. This complexity requires tailored PSM approaches that are specific to each facility's unique risks, which can be time-consuming and challenging to develop (Sattari, Macciotta, Kurian, & Lefsrud, 2021).

Finally, human factors play a significant role in the barriers to successful PSM implementation. Even with the best systems in place, human error can still lead to catastrophic failures. This is why continuous training, risk awareness, and vigilance are essential components of any PSM system. However, maintaining high levels of safety awareness in a workforce can be difficult, especially in industries where fatigue, complacency, and high turnover rates are common. Ensuring that employees remain engaged with safety processes requires ongoing effort and resources (Behie et al., 2020).

4. Strategies for Enhancing Risk Mitigation through PSM

4.1 Best Practices and Innovative Approaches for Improving PSM in Oil and Gas

Effective risk mitigation strategies within Process Safety Management (PSM) are essential to ensure the safe operation of oil and gas facilities. As the industry evolves, traditional safety practices are being supplemented with advanced technologies and innovative approaches to better manage risks and prevent incidents. Several best practices and cutting-edge solutions have emerged, helping the sector enhance PSM systems and safeguard against hazards.

One of the most impactful innovations in recent years is the adoption of digital tools to monitor and manage safety risks. With the advent of digitalization, oil and gas companies can now deploy sophisticated software systems to track equipment conditions, operational metrics, and potential safety hazards in real time. These tools allow for the collection and analysis of vast amounts of data, which can be used to identify patterns that signal impending equipment failures or process upsets. For example, digital twins, which are virtual representations of physical assets, can simulate operations and provide insights into how certain variables (e.g., temperature, pressure) might affect equipment performance. These simulations enable operators to anticipate potential safety issues and take corrective action before accidents occur (Wanasinghe et al., 2020).

Another critical best practice is the implementation of predictive maintenance programs. Traditionally, maintenance in oil and gas facilities was performed on a schedule or after equipment failed, both of which present risks. Scheduled maintenance can result in unnecessary downtime and costs, while reactive maintenance, performed after a breakdown, carries the danger of catastrophic failures. Predictive maintenance leverages advanced data analytics and machine learning to predict when equipment will likely fail, allowing for proactive repairs. By identifying and addressing potential problems before they escalate, companies can prevent major accidents, reduce operational downtime, and improve safety outcomes.

Real-time monitoring is another essential strategy that has significantly advanced PSM. Installing sensors and automated systems across oil and gas facilities allows for continuously monitoring critical parameters such as pressure, temperature, and fluid flow. These systems can immediately detect abnormalities, triggering alarms and initiating shutdown procedures to prevent hazardous incidents. Additionally, by using cloud-based platforms to store and analyze real-time data, operators can make informed decisions about process adjustments and maintenance needs. For example, real-time monitoring in offshore drilling platforms helps mitigate risks associated with high-pressure wells and other dynamic operating conditions (Sattari, Lefsrud, Kurian, & Macciotta, 2022).

Incorporating risk-based process safety (RBPS) frameworks has also become a best practice in the industry. RBPS involves identifying and prioritizing risks based on their likelihood and potential impact. This approach helps companies allocate resources more effectively by focusing attention on the most critical risks first. In oil and gas, where multiple processes occur simultaneously, RBPS frameworks ensure that safety management efforts are aligned with the most significant hazards, improving overall risk mitigation efforts (Aderamo, Olisakwe, Adebayo, & Esiri, 2024a, 2024b).

4.2 Role of Continuous Training, Workforce Engagement, and Technology Adoption

Effective PSM systems are not solely dependent on technology; human factors also play a vital role in ensuring safety. Continuous training and workforce engagement are integral components of a comprehensive approach to risk mitigation. In the oil and gas industry, employees work in high-risk environments and operate complex machinery, meaning that a strong safety culture and well-trained personnel are essential to reducing incidents (Ekpobimi, Kandekere, & Fasanmade, 2024; Hamdan, Al-Salaymeh, AlHamad, Ikemba, & Ewim, 2023).

Continuous training ensures that employees are up-to-date with the latest safety procedures, regulatory requirements, and best practices. Training programs should be designed to go beyond regulatory compliance and focus on fostering a deep understanding of the risks inherent in the job. Regularly scheduled safety drills, refresher courses, and hands-on simulations help workers practice appropriate responses to potential emergencies. For instance, training sessions that replicate hazardous scenarios, such as equipment failures or gas leaks, prepare employees to act quickly and decisively in real-life emergencies. Moreover, training should extend to everyone in the organization, from frontline workers to management, as leadership plays a crucial role in maintaining safety standards (Aderamo, Olisakwe, Adebayo, & Esiri; Awonuga et al., 2024).

Another key strategy for mitigating risks is fostering workforce engagement. The safety system becomes more robust when workers are actively involved in safety programs and encouraged to speak up about potential hazards. Creating a culture of open communication where employees feel comfortable reporting unsafe conditions or near-misses without fear of reprisal is critical. Companies that engage their workforce in safety management often see fewer incidents because employees are more vigilant, attentive, and committed to preventing accidents. Engaged employees are likelier to follow safety protocols, identify risks, and collaborate with colleagues to mitigate hazards (Brauer, 2022).

Technology adoption further strengthens PSM systems and helps reduce risks. The integration of automation and advanced technology can significantly enhance human capabilities in monitoring, diagnosing, and controlling processes. For example, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) algorithms can process massive amounts of data generated by sensors, predict equipment failures, and recommend preventive actions. AI-driven predictive analytics

allow companies to move from reactive to preventive safety management, reducing unplanned downtime and preventing accidents caused by equipment malfunctions (Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Emuobosa, 2024; Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Esiri, 2024b).

The adoption of drones and robotics also supports risk mitigation efforts. Drones can be used for remote inspections of pipelines, offshore platforms, and other hazardous or hard-to-reach areas, reducing the need for personnel to be exposed to potentially dangerous environments. Robotics can carry out inspections, repairs, and maintenance tasks in high-risk zones, such as confined spaces or areas with toxic gas exposure, significantly reducing the risks to human workers (Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Esiri, 2024a; Samira, Weldegeorgise, Osundare, Ekpobimi, & Kandekere, 2024).

Moreover, virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies are increasingly being used for safety training and operations in oil and gas. VR simulations create immersive training environments where employees can practice emergency response procedures in a safe and controlled setting. AR can overlay real-time data on physical equipment, guiding operators through complex maintenance tasks while highlighting potential safety risks. These technologies enhance workforce skills and improve operational efficiency and safety outcomes (Garcia, Naranjo, Ortiz, & Garcia, 2019).

5. Conclusion

In summary, Process Safety Management (PSM) plays a critical role in the oil and gas industry by reducing operational risks and protecting both workers and the environment. As explored, key components of effective PSM systems include hazard analysis, incident investigation, and change management, all of which are fundamental to maintaining safety. These components must be supported by a strong safety culture, accountable leadership, and adherence to regulatory standards to ensure they are implemented effectively. However, the oil and gas industry faces significant challenges in PSM implementation, including aging infrastructure, the complexity of high-risk environments, and the struggle to meet evolving compliance requirements. Industry incidents such as major oil spills and refinery explosions serve as stark reminders of the potential consequences of inadequate PSM systems and highlight the importance of continuous improvement in safety management practices.

Moreover, advancements in digital tools, predictive maintenance, and real-time monitoring have transformed the landscape of PSM, offering powerful strategies for improving risk mitigation. These technologies provide early warnings of potential hazards, enable proactive maintenance, and improve decision-making. Despite these innovations, human factors remain essential. Continuous training and workforce engagement are vital to ensuring that employees understand and adhere to safety protocols, while leadership must foster an environment where safety is prioritized and accountability is maintained. The integration of technology with human oversight represents a balanced approach to effective PSM.

The implications for the oil and gas industry are profound. As the industry evolves, the demand for more robust and advanced PSM systems will continue to grow. Companies that adopt these systems can expect reduced incident rates, improved worker safety, and fewer environmental risks. Furthermore, they can better meet regulatory requirements, avoid costly accidents, and enhance their reputation within the industry. However, those that fail to prioritize PSM risk financial and operational losses and severe damage to their social license to operate, as public and governmental scrutiny over safety practices intensifies.

Recommendations for Future Improvements in PSM to Further Reduce Risks

Several recommendations can be made to improve PSM systems to further reduce risks in the oil and gas industry. First, the industry should continue investing in digital transformation to enhance its ability to predict and prevent potential safety issues. This includes adopting more advanced data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning (ML) tools for real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance. These technologies offer significant potential for reducing unplanned downtime, preventing accidents, and optimizing maintenance schedules.

Second, oil and gas companies should prioritize enhancing their safety culture through leadership-driven initiatives. This requires ensuring compliance with safety regulations and embedding safety as a core organizational value. Leaders should promote transparency, encourage employees to report near-misses without fear of reprisal, and involve the workforce in decision-making processes related to safety. Strong leadership commitment to safety can create an environment where all employees are actively engaged in preventing accidents and mitigating risks.

Third, continuous workforce training and education are essential for keeping up with technological advancements and evolving safety challenges. Training should not be limited to initial onboarding but must be an ongoing effort that includes simulations of potential hazards, drills for emergency response, and refresher courses on the latest PSM best practices. Workforce engagement in safety processes should also be prioritized, as involving employees in hazard identification and mitigation can help reduce risks more effectively.

Lastly, the oil and gas industry should actively participate in collaborative initiatives with regulatory bodies and industry peers to share knowledge and best practices. This collaboration can lead to improved safety standards, more effective regulatory frameworks, and a better understanding of emerging risks. Companies must view safety as a shared responsibility, where collective efforts can lead to safer outcomes for the entire industry.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Emuobosa, A. (2024). Corporate social responsibility in oil and gas: Balancing business growth and environmental sustainability.

[2] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Esiri, A. E. (2024a). Circular economy practices in the oil and gas industry: A business perspective on sustainable resource management. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(3), 267-285.

[3] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Esiri, A. E. (2024b). Driving circular economy in project management: Effective stakeholder management for sustainable outcome. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(3), 235-245.

[4] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. Leveraging AI for financial risk management in oil and gas safety investments.

[5] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024a). AI-enabled predictive safeguards for offshore oil facilities: Enhancing safety and operational efficiency.

[6] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024b). AI-powered pandemic response framework for offshore oil platforms: Ensuring safety during global health crises.

[7] Al Mazrouei, M. A., Khalid, K., Davidson, R., & Abdallah, S. (2019). Impact of organizational culture and perceived process safety in the UAE oil and gas industry. *The Qualitative Report, 24*(12), 3215-3238.

[8] Ali, M., Edghiem, F., & Alkhalifah, E. S. (2023). Cultural challenges of ERP implementation in Middle-Eastern oil & gas sector: An action research approach. *Systemic Practice and Action Research, 36*(1), 111-140.

[9] Amaechi, C. V., Hosie, G., & Reda, A. (2022). Review on subsea pipeline integrity management: An operator's perspective. *Energies, 16*(1), 98.

[10] Amyotte, P. R., & Khan, F. I. (2021). The role of inherently safer design in process safety. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 99*(4), 853-871.

[11] Anwar, Z., Mustafa, A., & Ali, M. (2019). Appraisal of process safety management practices in refining sector of Pakistan. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 128*, 36-40.

[12] Awonuga, K. F., Nwankwo, E. E., Oladapo, J. O., Okoye, C. C., Odunaiya, O. G., & Scholastica, U. C. (2024). Driving sustainable growth in SME manufacturing: The role of digital transformation, project, and capture management. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 11*(1), 2012-2021.

[13] Behie, S. W., Halim, S. Z., Efaw, B., O'Connor, T. M., & Quddus, N. (2020). Guidance to improve the effectiveness of process safety management systems in operating facilities. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 68*, 104257.

[14] Bisbey, T. M., Kilcullen, M. P., Thomas, E. J., Ottosen, M. J., Tsao, K., & Salas, E. (2021). Safety culture: An integration of existing models and a framework for understanding its development. *Human factors, 63*(1), 88-110.

[15] Brauer, R. L. (2022). *Safety and health for engineers*: John Wiley & Sons.

[16] Crolius, S., Pugh, D., Morris, S., & Valera-Medina, A. (2021). Safety Aspects. *Techno-Economic Challenges of Green Ammonia as an Energy Vector*, 221-257.

[17] Ekpobimi, H. O., Kandekere, R. C., & Fasanmade, A. A. (2024). Software entrepreneurship in the digital age: Leveraging front-end innovations to drive business growth. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 20*(09).

[18] Ferreira, N. N., Martins, M. R., de Figueiredo, M. A. G., & Gagno, V. H. (2020). Guidelines for life extension process management in oil and gas facilities. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 68*, 104290.

[19] Garcia, C. A., Naranjo, J. E., Ortiz, A., & Garcia, M. V. (2019). An approach of virtual reality environment for technicians training in upstream sector. *Ifac-Papersonline, 52*(9), 285-291.

[20] Hamdan, A., Al-Salaymeh, A., AlHamad, I. M., Ikemba, S., & Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Predicting future global temperature and greenhouse gas emissions via LSTM model. *Sustainable Energy Research, 10*(1), 21.

[21] Hong, F., & Teh, T.-H. (2019). Bureaucratic shirking, corruption, and firms' environmental investment and abatement. *Environmental and Resource Economics, 74*, 505-538.

[22] Jung, S., Woo, J., & Kang, C. (2020). Analysis of severe industrial accidents caused by hazardous chemicals in South Korea from January 2008 to June 2018. *Safety science, 124*, 104580.

[23] Khan, A., Sattari, F., Lefsrud, L., & Tufail, M. (2021). Enhancing regional process safety management. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 71*, 104444.

[24] Kletz, T., & Amyotte, P. (2019). *What went wrong?: case histories of process plant disasters and how they could have been avoided*: Butterworth-Heinemann.

[25] Laurent, A., Pey, A., Gurtel, P., & Fabiano, B. (2021). A critical perspective on the implementation of the EU Council Seveso Directives in France, Germany, Italy and Spain. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 148*, 47-74.

[26] Liaw, H.-J. (2023). Improved management practice and process hazard analysis techniques for minimizing likelihood of process safety incidents in Taiwan. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 81*, 104966.

[27] Mohammed, A. S., Reinecke, P., Burnap, P., Rana, O., & Anthi, E. (2022). Cybersecurity challenges in the offshore oil and gas industry: an industrial cyber-physical systems (ICPS) perspective. *ACM Transactions on Cyber-Physical Systems (TCPS), 6*(3), 1-27.

[28] Nwankwo, C. D. (2020). *Development of an Integrated Process Safety Management and Climate Change Model for the Oil and Gas Industry.* Coventry University,

[29] Nwankwo, C. D., Theophilus, S. C., & Arewa, A. O. (2020). A comparative analysis of process safety management (PSM) systems in the process industry. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 66*, 104171.

[30] Onita, F. B., & Ochulor, O. J. (2024). Geosteering in deep water wells: A theoretical review of challenges and solutions.

[31] Organization, W. H. (2020). Patient safety incident reporting and learning systems: technical report and guidance.

[32] Pasman, H., Sripaul, E., Khan, F., & Fabiano, B. (2023). Energy transition technology comes with new process safety challenges and risks. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 177*, 765-794.

[33] Patterson, K., & Wigham, G. (2019). Management of Change-what does a'good'system look like. *Loss Prevention Bulletin, 267*, 7-10.

[34] Roughton, J., Crutchfield, N., & Waite, M. (2019). *Safety culture: An innovative leadership approach*: Butterworth-Heinemann.

[35] Samira, Z., Weldegeorgise, Y. W., Osundare, O. S., Ekpobimi, H. O., & Kandekere, R. C. (2024). Comprehensive data security and compliance framework for SMEs. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 12*(1), 043-055.

[36] Sattari, F., Lefsrud, L., Kurian, D., & Macciotta, R. (2022). A theoretical framework for data-driven artificial intelligence decision making for enhancing the asset integrity management system in the oil & gas sector. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 74*, 104648.

[37] Sattari, F., Macciotta, R., Kurian, D., & Lefsrud, L. (2021). Application of Bayesian network and artificial intelligence to reduce accident/incident rates in oil & gas companies. *Safety science, 133*, 104981.

[38] Shanmugam, K., & Razak, M. A. (2021). Assessment on process safety management implementation maturity among major hazard installations in Malaysia. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 149*, 485-496.

[39] Tang, K. H. D. (2021). A case study of asset integrity and process safety management of major oil and gas Companies in Malaysia. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports, 20*(2), 6-19.

[40] Tholstrup, C., Moore, K., Mouneimne, M., & Abdulrahman, J. (2020). The deepwater Horizon accident.

[41] Timbang, A., Prasad, D. R., & Azri, M. H. (2023). The perspective of leadership and management commitment in process safety management. *Indian Chemical Engineer, 65*(4), 381-394.

[42] Wanasinghe, T. R., Gosine, R. G., James, L. A., Mann, G. K., De Silva, O., & Warrian, P. J. (2020). The internet of things in the oil and gas industry: a systematic review. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 7*(9), 8654-8673.